

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 84

Comment 1

I write today in support of General Moly's molybdenum mine. This is a project that is easy to support because it will benefit families both within and outside of its central Nevada location. The proposed alternative presents a path to employment for many-let's makes it happen.

Any time a major company has taken the time and invested the money that General Moly has to ensure that its proposed mine at Mount Hope is environmentally-sound and eco-friendly, then I say, "Let them begin." This project puts people to work and just makes sense.

The proposed mine at Mt Hope is a project that deserves full support and speedy implementation. Our economic outlook is bleak, but this mine offers real hope that we can turn at least a portion of the economy around. General Moly should be allowed to proceed as soon as possible on developing a mine that has proven viability and a long life ahead of it.

When faced with a poor economic outlook, one must look for ways to improve the situation. General Moly's proposed mine at Mount Hope offers just such a solution and deserves approval. Thank you.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 85

Comment 1

There's no time like the present to approve the BLMs proposed alternative as it relates to the mine at Mount Hope. We need the economic boost this mine will provide and the steel industry needs American molybdenum.

If a well-planned, environmentally-friendly mine that employs nearly 400 Americans could be up and running within 18 months, who wouldn't support its approval? General Moly has proposed such a project at Mount Hope and it deserves approval. In these tough economic times, it makes sense to support this project.

The fact that the Mount Hope project is fully financed through strategic joint venture and off-take relationships, financially-sound, and ready to go, is just one more reason the project deserves support. In these trying economic times, Nevada, and the entire country, needs to support projects that will grow our economy. General Moly is ready to get to work to do this. Let's help it put Americans back to work.

Any alternative that seeks to delay or hinder in any way the successful development of the molybdenum mine at Mount Hope is an alternative that should be swiftly rejected. We need to put Americans back to work and we can do this by supporting General Moly's Proposed Alternative because it will open mining operations within 18 months of approval and put to work nearly 400 people. It's a good project for Nevada and a good project for the lucrative future of mining in the United States and will give a real boost to our economy.

General Moly's DEIS is thorough and deserves approval. We need to do everything we can to strengthen and grow the economy of Nevada and the west in general. This proposed mine will do just that. Thank you.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 86

Comment 1

It's with great enthusiasm that I write today urging approval of the preferred alternative that will get General Moly's Mt Hope mine off the ground and under construction. We need the jobs this mine will provide.

The BLM has spent a lot of time and effort looking at the water use for this project and a large part of the EIS talks about water use. But in Nevada, you can use water that you own and water rights can be bought and sold. As I understand it, the mine bought the water rights for the Mt Hope Project and the BLM doesn't even regulate groundwater use. The BLM should conclude that there are no "impacts" to water quantity, because the mine will just be using water that they own.

General Moly's Mount Hope Project will create much-needed jobs and generate a much needed resource-and it is doing so while being sensitive to the environment and the needs of those who live and work in Eureka County. In fact, General Moly has worked closely with the county to assure that the mine operates efficiently and in good stewardship of land and water resources.

The growing worldwide steel industry needs a reliable source of molybdenum. I appreciate the planning that General Moly has exhibited in its proposal for the Mt Hope mine and wish to thank the BLM for its consideration in moving this project forward.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 87

Comment 1

The BLM should speedily approve the preferred alternative outlined in the General Moly Draft Environmental Impact Statement.

When it comes to approving the Mt. Hope mine, I think the main thing to consider is what it will do for our suffering economy. General Moly plans to employ around 400 people in good-paying jobs and this will have a real impact on the surrounding community and the country as a whole.

Wild horses are overpopulating the range and destroying the values of a balanced ecosystem. The wild horse mitigation for the Mt Hope project will help BLM be better able to balance the population. This project is socio-economically beneficial on many levels.

Despite the nit-picking from naysayers, this EIS exhibits that a modern mine can be planned by a company and evaluated by an agency in ways that allow for production that provides necessary minerals while protecting the environment and enhancing the socio-economics of a region. Job well done!

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 88

Comment 1

Please approve the proposed Mt. Hope molybdenum mine by supporting the preferred alternative. This is an excellent project and one that will bring broad-ranging economic benefits to Nevada and to the national economic outlook for years to come.

The Mt. Hope project is one of the world's largest and highest-grade deposits of undeveloped molybdenum. The property contains 1.3 billion pounds of proven and probable reserves. Developing this mine guarantees Americans can be put to work for years to come. It is estimated that this mine will operate for 80 years, ensuring a future for families in the surrounding area. Its development should be supported, the sooner the better.

General Moly has done a great deal to assure that environmental protections are put in place for its proposed Mt Hope mine. It is an asset to the surrounding community and to the economic outlook of our nation as a whole. Please see that this project is allowed to proceed.

This property is located in a sage brush plateau region, and would do little to impair the environment, except for the immediate area be excavated. There is no rational reason that anyone would oppose it, unless their purpose is to undermine the U.S. economy.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 89

Comment 1

As a supplier of products and/or services to the mining industry, I am extremely interested in seeing the General Moly Mt. Hope molybdenum mine in Eureka, Nevada receive its federal and state permits, commence construction, and begin operation. We are suffering from the worst economy in decades, I understand that Nevada is at the bottom of the list and the only bright spot in the state is the mining industry. In fact, the Mt. Hope Mine will diversify the mining industry in Nevada and will bring the Silver State much needed economic development.

Unfortunately, I have read reports that the Board of Eureka County Commissioners are continually putting up unfounded and unnecessary obstacles to delay the permitting process of General Moly's Mt. Hope project. Indeed, such nonsensical actions by a government entity such as the Eureka County Commissioners, which is well-funded primarily from mining tax revenue, could not only jeopardize the Mt. Hope project, but future natural resource projects as well.

From what I understand, the Mt. Hope project is an environmentally sound project. The management at General Moly is committed to environmental stewardship and will comply with established policies and regulations enforced by the recognized agencies and authorities such as the BLM and the State of Nevada.

While the molybdenum mine at Mt. Hope will directly benefit our company, its employees and their families, it will also have a strong economic effect upon the suppliers from whom we make our purchases. Therefore, this worthwhile project will substantially augment the State of Nevada and our nation's economy during a time when our country and its citizens need it most.

We would like to add our name to those who support the much needed start-up of General Moly's Mt. Hope project in Eureka, not only for our company, but for the general well-being of the citizens of Nevada.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 90

Comment 1

I'm writing in support of General Moly's molybdenum mine in central Nevada. I recommend you approve the mine and move forward with the preferred alternative, which will create jobs and economic benefit for a wide region.

The agency was correct in the alternatives in the "considered and eliminated from consideration" category, as well as in analyzing but dismissing the others. For example, while you must consider a No Action Alternative, it would be an incredible waste of a valuable resources and of an opportunity for economic benefit to many, many people. It was also wise to not select the Slower, Longer Project Alternative. While it may sound appealing, this approach would not lead to a longer mine life, but would more likely result in the project's economics not penciling out and become a de facto No Action Alternative.

The Proposed Alternative for General Moly's Mount Hope project should be approved. It will get the project off the ground in the most efficient and environmentally-sound manner and it presents a long-term view that the other alternatives lack.

It is important that the public have the ability to comment on projects of this nature as it affects many for years to come. Thank you for the opportunity to comment and please see that this worthy effort is allowed to be developed.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 91

Comment 1

I support American jobs and the proposed mine at Mount Hope will create much needed employment for nearly 400 Americans. Please include my comments as part of the public response to General Moly's plan and please support the Preferred Alternative.

This is an excellent project that has been studied extensively and from every perspective. Just by way of example, consider that Appendix C, "Mitigation Summary Plan" alone is 52 pages, covers everything from Auditory to Wildlife - with a hefty section on Water in between. In turn, Attachment 4 to that Plan, which regards Townsend's Big-Eared Bats, itself has an Appendix 1 and an Appendix 2. Quite literally, the Appendices have Appendices. Let's put that good work - and good people - to work. Now.

The Mount Hope project is ready to go and should be approved immediately.

General Moly has conducted all the necessary permitting and baseline studies and is capable of beginning construction upon receipt of the ROD.

This means that Nevadans could be put to work as soon as the end of 2012 and that the mine could be producing as early as 2014. The economic recovery of the United States depends on thoughtful projects like that at Mount Hope.

If a well-planned, environmentally-friendly mine that employs nearly 400 Americans could be up and running within 18 months, who wouldn't support its approval? General Moly has proposed such a project at Mount Hope and it deserves approval. In these tough economic times, it makes sense to support this project.

General Moly's DEIS is thorough and deserves approval. We need to do everything we can to strengthen and grow the economy of Nevada and the west in general. This proposed mine will do just that. Thank you.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 92

Comment 1

Please include my comments as part of the public response to the proposed molybdenum mine at Mount Hope. This project should move forward without delay.

I have great appreciation for wild horses, and the mitigation proposed for the Mt Hope project will provide water to horse populations that can experience severe water stress. If for no other reason, this project should be approved for the beneficial impacts to the wild horses.

Thanks again to the BLM for allowing public comment on this important proposal. It should go forward as soon as possible.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 93

Comment 1

Thank you for the opportunity to contribute to the comment period for General Moly's Mount Hope DEIS. I support this project and hope to see it up and running as soon as possible.

This mine will provide a needed commodity while having a modest environmental impact. Some of the environmental aspect that make this an excellent location and use of the land include: no endangered species at the site; only a little pit de-watering is required; no wetlands; no sacred sites.

The Proposed Alternative for General Moly's Mount Hope project should be approved. It will get the project off the ground in the most efficient and environmentally-sound manner and it presents a long-term view that the other alternatives lack.

Strike while the iron is hot! The proposed mine at Mt. Hope is ready to begin employing people and the timing couldn't be better. The resources market is favorable and Americans need good-paying jobs. General Moly is prepared to provide for both.

It is important that the public have the ability to comment on projects of this nature as it affects many for years to come. Thank you for the opportunity to comment and please see that this worthy effort is allowed to be developed.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 94

Comment 1

Allow me to voice my strong support for the proposed mine at Mount Hope. As outlined in the DEIS, the BLM very thoroughly examined this proposal and the other alternatives and I wish to support the proposed action outlined in the DEIS.

Water is certainly a concern, and the monitoring program will really ensure that any impacts from the Mount Hope Mine are well understood.

This is a better and more comprehensive monitoring plan than the farmers could ever afford or would otherwise be put in place if the mine didn't get built. Besides monitoring impacts from the mine, it will also show the farmers the ongoing impacts from their pumping. That is an added benefit that is not stated in the EIS but is valuable to the water rights holders in Diamond Valley.

General Moly's mine at Mount Hope will create jobs and livelihoods in a beautiful area of the country. This project deserves support for all the benefits it will provide. Thank you for letting me lend my support to it.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 95

Comment 1

I support American jobs and the proposed mine at Mount Hope will create much needed employment for nearly 400 Americans. Please include my comments as part of the public response to General Moly's plan and please support the Preferred Alternative.

This is an excellent project that has been studied extensively and from every perspective. Just by way of example, consider that Appendix C, "Mitigation Summary Plan" alone is 52 pages, covers everything from Auditory to Wildlife - with a hefty section on Water in between. In turn, Attachment 4 to that Plan, which regards Townsend's Big-Eared Bats, itself has an Appendix 1 and an Appendix 2. Quite literally, the Appendices have Appendices. Let's put that good work - and good people - to work. Now.

Mining projects hold real potential for turning our dismal economy around.

People need and want to be put back to work and General Moly's proposed mine at Mount Hope can do this. The site has been carefully studied and evaluated and is known to be a source of abundant molybdenum reserves.

This molybdenum is prized in the steel industry for its role in making steel strong. It is in demand and this project can serve this demand. Why wouldn't someone support wise use of our natural resources and the American jobs it creates?

We must support Americans looking for work. This mine is one way to do that. Please approve the plan.

It is time for americans to take back America and quit kowtowing to special interest groups whom are wrongfully delaying projects such as this one. Please use common sense and approve this plan without delay.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 96

Comment 1

Please move forward with Bureau of Land Management's Preferred Alternative as detailed in Chapter 2 of the Draft Environmental Impact Statement.

I especially appreciate the fact you did not select Alternative 2.2.4, the so-called "Go Slow" option. While I understand why you analyzed it, this Alternative is a classic example of something that may sound good, but won't work in the real world. Furthermore, even if it did work economically (which is highly doubtful), as you point out "water consumption on a per-unit basis would be higher than in the Proposed Action." That's reason enough to go with your recommendation.

The Mt. Hope project is one of the world's largest and highest-grade deposits of undeveloped molybdenum. The property contains 1.3 billion pounds of proven and probable reserves. Developing this mine guarantees Americans can be put to work for years to come. It is

estimated that this mine will operate for 80 years, ensuring a future for families in the surrounding area. Its development should be supported, the sooner the better.

It is a great time to open a mine. The markets are very favorable to mining the abundant natural resources we have here in the United States and General Moly's Mt. Hope project is a clear example of project that is ready to proceed in getting to our resources and putting folks to work. It should be approved and allowed to open as soon as possible.

Our country is in a critically important place economically and I believe that what we accomplish over the next several years will either help or hinder our economic recovery. Without a doubt this proposal and the preferred alternative will help our recovery. Thank you for presenting it and I hope it is approved quickly.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 97

Comment 1

Like the rest of the nation, Nevada is suffering economically. I wish to voice my support for the proposed mine at Mt Hope because I would like to see more projects like this be successful so that our economy can benefit.

General Moly is creating American jobs and boosting the economy of the State of Nevada at a time when many around the nation are suffering from lack of work. Not only will General Moly's Mount Hope Project improve the economic well-being of the area immediately surrounding it, but will also do a great deal to improve our economic well-being nationally. This mine will reduce the national trade deficit by around \$600 million annually and generate \$50 million per year in Federal, State, and local taxes.

Thanks again to the BLM for allowing public comment on this important proposal. It should go forward as soon as possible.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 98

Comment 1

I say let's get moving with the Mount Hope mine and implement your Preferred Alternative ASAP.

Wildlife is important to me and wildlife in Nevada needs to be protected.

The mitigation for Mt Hope includes burial of powerlines and pipelines in the wellfield which will be a huge help to the sage grouse populations.

This shows that the Mt Hope project will benefit wildlife, especially the sage grouse while still bringing this important project and the accompanying jobs to NV.

Thank you for letting me submit this letter in support of the project.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 99

Comment 1

Our environment is important to our quality of life, but equally important is the ability to make a living. The proposed mine at Mt. Hope as outlined in the preferred alternative strikes the right balance between environmental stewardship and economic growth. It should receive approval and be allowed to move forward.

As someone who cares about the environment and making sure American families have the ability to make a living, I couldn't ask for a better project than that proposed by General Moly for the molybdenum mine at Mount Hope. This project has taken into consideration the great beauty and wildlife of the surrounding environment. It will also be a major source of employment for the

region. More mineral-rich areas should follow General Moly's example when it comes to utilizing our natural resources soundly and with great economic benefit.

I have great appreciation for wild horses, and the mitigation proposed for the Mt Hope project will provide water to horse populations that can experience severe water stress. If for no other reason, this project should be approved for the beneficial impacts to the wild horses.

If a well-planned, environmentally-friendly mine that employs nearly 400 Americans could be up and running within 18 months, who wouldn't support its approval? General Moly has proposed such a project at Mount Hope and it deserves approval. In these tough economic times, it makes sense to support this project.

With the BLM as the lead agency, this Draft Environmental Impact Statement has covered all the bases and exceeded the federal requirements for planning, analysis and mitigation. You are to be commended.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 100

Comment 1

I appreciate being able to comment on the Mount Hope mine proposal and wish to add my support to those who wish to see this mine open and operating as soon as possible.

This is an excellent project that has been studied extensively and from every perspective. Just by way of example, consider that Appendix C, "Mitigation Summary Plan" alone is 52 pages, covers everything from Auditory to Wildlife - with a hefty section on Water in between. In turn, Attachment 4 to that Plan, which regards Townsend's Big-Eared Bats, itself has an Appendix 1 and an Appendix 2. Quite literally, the Appendices have Appendices. Let's put that good work - and good people - to work. Now.

The growing worldwide steel industry needs a reliable source of molybdenum. I appreciate the planning that General Moly has exhibited in its proposal for the Mt Hope mine and wish to thank the BLM for its consideration in moving this project forward.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 101

Comment 1

As a supplier of products and/or services to the mining industry, I am extremely interested in seeing the General Moly Mt. Hope molybdenum mine in Eureka, Nevada receive its federal and state permits, commence construction, and begin operation.

We are suffering from the worst economy in decades, I understand that Nevada is at the bottom of the list and the only bright spot in the state is the mining industry. In fact, the Mt. Hope Mine will diversify the mining industry in Nevada and will bring the Silver State much needed economic development.

Unfortunately, I have read reports that the Board of Eureka County Commissioners are continually putting up unfounded and unnecessary obstacles to delay the permitting process of General Moly's Mt. Hope project. Indeed, such nonsensical actions by a government entity such as the Eureka County Commissioners, which is well-funded primarily from mining tax revenue, could not only jeopardize the Mt. Hope project, but future natural resource projects as well.

From what I understand, the Mt. Hope project is an environmentally sound project. The management at General Moly is committed to environmental stewardship and will comply with established policies and regulations enforced by the recognized agencies and authorities such as the BLM and the State of Nevada.

While the molybdenum mine at Mt. Hope will directly benefit our company, its employees and their families, it will also have a strong economic effect upon the suppliers from whom we make our purchases. Therefore, this worthwhile project will substantially augment the State of Nevada and our nation's economy during a time when our country and its citizens need it most.

We would like to add our name to those who support the much needed start-up of General Moly's Mt. Hope project in Eureka, not only for our company, but for the general well-being of the citizens of Nevada.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 102

Comment 1

The preferred alternative as outlined in the Bureau of Land Management's DEIS for the Mt. Hope mine should be approved immediately. Any American operation that puts people back to work should be supported in these tough economic times.

The Mount Hope project is ready to go and should be approved immediately.

General Moly has conducted all the necessary permitting and baseline studies and is capable of beginning construction upon receipt of the ROD.

This means that Nevadans could be put to work as soon as the end of 2012 and that the mine could be producing as early as 2014. The economic recovery of the United States depends on thoughtful projects like that at Mount Hope.

I am concerned with the vitality and flow of streams and springs.

However, it looks like EMLLC has a very thorough and rigorous monitoring program. The information the mine collects will help us understand the hydrology in the area - including how the Diamond Valley over-pumping is affecting conditions. This will be helpful to protecting and preserving the water, which is the most important resource. The Mount Hope project should be approved because of this beneficial impact.

When it comes to approving the Mt. Hope mine, I think the main thing to consider is what it will do for our suffering economy.

General Moly plans to employ around 400 people in good-paying jobs and this will have a real impact on the surrounding community and the country as a whole.

We hear a lot about the 'boom and bust' of mining, but the reality of the Mount Hope Project is that a miner could go to work on construction next year and have a job for 45 years, or even 50 years if he/she worked on post-closure reclamation. Many of us would love to have the opportunity to spend our entire career in one place. That's one more reason to open the mine.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 103

Comment 1

General Moly has proposed a molybdenum mine at Mt Hope that is both environmentally-sound and economically beneficial. I ask that you approve this project and the preferred alternative without delay.

It would be a terrible waste to not tap into this resource, right here in the U.S.A. The fact that this mine will employ literally hundreds of people for 44 years is reason enough to proceed, and when you consider all the environmental safeguards and mitigation the company has agreed to, it's clear the BLM should approve the preferred alternative and issue a ROD.

So let's get moving as quickly as possible to make this project happen.

It's what's best for the environment, best for Nevada, and best of our economy and tax base.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 104

Comment 1

The preferred alternative as outlined in the Bureau of Land Management's DEIS for the Mt. Hope mine should be approved immediately. Any American operation that puts people back to work should be supported in these tough economic times.

The Mount Hope project is ready to go and should be approved immediately.

General Moly has conducted all the necessary permitting and baseline studies and is capable of beginning construction upon receipt of the ROD.

This means that Nevadans could be put to work as soon as the end of 2012 and that the mine could be producing as early as 2014. The economic recovery of the United States depends on thoughtful projects like that at Mount Hope.

The BLM has spent a lot of time and effort looking at the water use for this project and a large part of the EIS talks about water use. But in Nevada, you can use water that you own and water rights can be bought and sold. As I understand it, the mine bought the water rights for the Mt Hope Project and the BLM doesn't even regulate groundwater use. The BLM should conclude that there are no "impacts" to water quantity, because the mine will just be using water that they own.

The BLM presents an excellent DEIS and I urge the permitting agencies to proceed promptly to a decision that allows General Moly to begin hiring and mining. Under this scenario jobs will come to Nevada and the local and regional economy will reap the benefits. Please do the right thing and make this project a reality.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 105

Comment 1

The BLM should speedily approve the preferred alternative outlined in the General Moly Draft Environmental Impact Statement.

When it comes to approving the Mt. Hope mine, I think the main thing to consider is what it will do for our suffering economy. General Moly plans to employ around 400 people in good-paying jobs and this will have a real impact on the surrounding community and the country as a whole.

As an outdoor enthusiast I urge you to approve this project. I have seen great examples of other mining companies' commitments to be stewards of the environment. Reclamation at Mt Hope will meet standards and the Henderson Creek access road will stay open. This is a great way to meet outdoor objectives and allow this economically important project to proceed.

As someone who cares about the environment and making sure American families have the ability to make a living, I couldn't ask for a better project than that proposed by General Moly for the molybdenum mine at Mount Hope. This project has taken into consideration the great beauty and wildlife of the surrounding environment. It will also be a major source of employment for the region. More mineral-rich areas should follow General Moly's example when it comes to utilizing our natural resources soundly and with great economic benefit.

As you well know, an EIS is supposed to take a "hard look at environmental consequences" and reach a decision. This draft has done exactly that, and therefore it's time to move ahead so more than 300 families can have the security of long-term, good-paying jobs.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 106

Comment 1

Please include my comments as part of the public response to the proposed molybdenum mine at Mount Hope. This project should move forward without delay.

I have great appreciation for wild horses, and the mitigation proposed for the Mt Hope project will provide water to horse populations that can experience severe water stress. If for no other reason, this project should be approved for the beneficial impacts to the wild horses.

Wildlife is important to me and wildlife in Nevada needs to be protected.

The mitigation for Mt Hope includes burial of powerlines and pipelines in the wellfield which will be a huge help to the sage grouse populations.

This shows that the Mt Hope project will benefit wildlife, especially the sage grouse while still bringing this important project and the accompanying jobs to NV.

I applaud the BLM's work on this Draft EIS. It is a more-than thorough "hard look" at the impacts of the Mount Hope Project and reaches the right conclusion, that this project needs to move ahead. Thank you.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 107

Comment 1

I say let's get moving with the Mount Hope mine and implement your Preferred Alternative ASAP.

Wildlife is important to me and wildlife in Nevada needs to be protected.

The mitigation for Mt Hope includes burial of powerlines and pipelines in the wellfield which will be a huge help to the sage grouse populations.

This shows that the Mt Hope project will benefit wildlife, especially the sage grouse while still bringing this important project and the accompanying jobs to NV.

I am concerned with the vitality and flow of streams and springs.

However, it looks like EMLLC has a very thorough and rigorous monitoring program. The information the mine collects will help us understand the hydrology in the area - including how the Diamond Valley over-pumping is affecting conditions. This will be helpful to protecting and preserving the water, which is the most important resource. The Mount Hope project should be approved because of this beneficial impact.

As you well know, an EIS is supposed to take a "hard look at environmental consequences" and reach a decision. This draft has done exactly that, and therefore it's time to move ahead so more than 300 families can have the security of long-term, good-paying jobs.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 108

Comment 1

I say let's get moving with the Mount Hope mine and implement your Preferred Alternative ASAP.

Wildlife is important to me and wildlife in Nevada needs to be protected.

The mitigation for Mt Hope includes burial of powerlines and pipelines in the wellfield which will be a huge help to the sage grouse populations.

This shows that the Mt Hope project will benefit wildlife, especially the sage grouse while still bringing this important project and the accompanying jobs to NV.

The BLM has spent a lot of time and effort looking at the water use for this project and a large part of the EIS talks about water use. But in Nevada, you can use water that you own and water rights can be bought and sold. As I understand it, the mine bought the water rights for the Mt Hope Project and the BLM doesn't even regulate groundwater use. The BLM should conclude that there are no "impacts" to water quantity, because the mine will just be using water that they own.

Returning nearly 400 critically needed jobs to Nevada is paramount for the local, state, and national economy. I urge you to make a decision that allows General Moly to begin hiring and mining. Thank you.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 109

Comment 1

Thank you for the opportunity to review the Mount Hope Project Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). Please accept this email comment in support of the proposed project.

As a long time resident in Northern Nevada, I recognize the benefits mining has brought to the State. The Mount Hope Project will create a long-term and stable mining operation in Nevada, having a proposed mining duration of 44 years. The project will bring significant short-term and long-term economic benefits to both the local community and the State of Nevada. The project will create

much-needed high-paying jobs. Implementation of the project will also have world-wide benefits. Operation of the Mount Hope Mine will provide a long-term supply of molybdenum to meet the global demand and will support the world-wide steel market.

Eureka Moly, LLC, has proposed a project that is also environmentally-sound. The proponent has built into the project's design numerous environmental protection measures proven to reduce or eliminate impacts to the environment. In addition, through the EIS process, the project site and vicinity have been studied and additional environmental mitigation measures have been recommended, further protecting the environment.

Implementation of the proposed project will provide long-term economic benefits to the local community and State of Nevada, will provide the world with a proven resource of molybdenum, while concurrently implementing measures to protect the environment.

I am in favor of the project and support the preferred alternative.

Please add my name to the project's mailing list to receive future notices. I would also like to request a copy of the Final EIS when it becomes available. Thank you for your consideration.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 110

Comment 1

As a Mackay School of Mines alumni, I am interested in mining projects throughout the State of Nevada. Thank you for letting me comment as part of the public response to the proposed molybdenum mine at Mount Hope. This project should move forward without delay. Please include my comments in the public record.

I am concerned with the vitality and flow of streams and springs throughout the State of Nevada and the West. However, it looks like EMLLC has a very thorough and rigorous monitoring program. The information the mine collects will help us understand the hydrology in the area - including how the Diamond Valley over-pumping is affecting conditions. This will be helpful to protecting and preserving the water, which is the most important resource. The Mount Hope project should be approved because of this beneficial impact. Mining can be done responsibly and co-exist with other uses of the land.

The Mount Hope project seems to be fully financed through strategic joint venture and off-take relationships, financially-sound, and ready to go. In these trying economic times, Nevada, and the entire country, needs to support projects that will grow our economy. General Moly is ready to get to work to do this. Let's help it put Americans back to work.

Wildlife is important to me and wildlife in Nevada needs to be protected.

The mitigation for Mt Hope includes burial of powerlines and pipelines in the wellfield which will be a huge help to the sage grouse populations.

This shows that the Mt Hope project will benefit wildlife, especially the sage grouse while still bringing this important project and the accompanying jobs to NV.

Returning nearly 400 critically needed jobs to Nevada is paramount for the local, state, and national economy. I urge you to make a decision that allows General Moly to begin hiring and mining. Thank you.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 111

Comment 1

Thank you for taking the time to read my comments regarding approval of the Preferred Alternative for the proposed molybdenum mine at Mount Hope.

There are many good reasons to support this mine and I hope it will be approved as soon as possible.

The proposed mine at Mt Hope is a project that deserves full support and speedy implementation. Our economic outlook is bleak, but this mine offers real hope that we can turn at least a portion of the economy around.

General Moly should be allowed to proceed as soon as possible on developing a mine that has proven viability and a long life ahead of it.

Unlike other alternatives, the Proposed Alternative for the mine at Mt Hope should be supported. It takes into account the various factors of the project, such as water use and wildlife protection, while at the same time presenting a project that can be readily and profitably implemented. And the sooner this project is approved, the sooner we can put Americans to work.

It is a great time to open a mine. The markets are very favorable to mining the abundant natural resources we have here in the United States and General Moly's Mt. Hope project is a clear example of project that is ready to proceed in getting to our resources and putting folks to work. It should be approved and allowed to open as soon as possible.

We simply cannot be held hostage by the Chinese for the key, basic industrial materials so critical to our own domestic economy and other world markets.

It is important that the public have the ability to comment on projects of this nature as it affects many for years to come. Thank you for the opportunity to comment and please see that this worthy effort is allowed to be developed.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 112

Comment 1

I appreciate the opportunity to add my voice to that of others in supporting the preferred alternative for the proposed mine at Mount Hope.

The DEIS presents a clear picture of how General Moly will proceed in opening and operating this mine and the economic, environmental, and social benefits of this mine are deserving of approval.

The fact that the Mount Hope project is fully financed through strategic joint venture and off-take relationships, financially-sound, and ready to go, is just one more reason the project deserves support. In these trying economic times, Nevada, and the entire country, needs to support projects that will grow our economy. General Moly is ready to get to work to do this. Let's help it put Americans back to work.

It would be a terrible waste to not tap into this resource, right here in the U.S.A. The fact that this mine will employ literally hundreds of people for 44 years is reason enough to proceed, and when you consider all the environmental safeguards and mitigation the company has agreed to, it's clear the BLM should approve the preferred alternative and issue a ROD.

The DEIS to evaluate General Moly's proposed Mount Hope mine is extremely thorough and demonstrates no significant impact to the environment. This project will create 400 good-paying jobs and will create additional revenue for the state of Nevada in the form of payroll taxes, mining net proceeds tax, and sales tax. This plan will be a positive economic stimulus for local businesses and other retail businesses. Please move forward with this plan in a timely manner. It's the right thing to do.

I strongly urge you to consider helping to move this project forward to production which will help our economy, provide much needed jobs, and give America available resources that create true wealth.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 113

Comment 1

Approval of the Proposed Action as outlined in General Moly's DEIS will bring jobs and stability to Nevada. Mining projects can easily contribute to the economic recovery of this nation and this project is ready to make that happen.

The study done to evaluate potential impacts from the project shows there won't be any significant impacts to Diamond Valley, which is the main competing use for water in the area. This is not just a claim by the mining company but is based on a series of technically robust scientific analyses.

Today, with the extreme recession facing our nation, the mining industry offers a path to recovery. For this reason, I support the proposed General Moly mine at Mount Hope. It is ready to put Americans to work and produce the molybdenum demanded by the steel industry worldwide.

Unlike other alternatives, the Proposed Alternative for the mine at Mt Hope should be supported. It takes into account the various factors of the project, such as water use and wildlife protection, while at the same time presenting a project that can be readily and profitably implemented. The sooner this project is approved, the sooner we can put Americans to work.

Thank you for reviewing my comments. This project is deserving of approval and should not be delayed.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 114

Comment 1

The preferred alternative as outlined in the Bureau of Land Management's DEIS for the Mt. Hope mine should be approved immediately. Any American operation that puts people back to work should be supported in these tough economic times.

The Mount Hope project is ready to go and should be approved immediately.

General Moly has conducted all the necessary permitting and baseline studies and is capable of beginning construction upon receipt of the ROD.

This means that Nevadans could be put to work as soon as the end of 2012 and that the mine could be producing as early as 2014. The economic recovery of the United States depends on thoughtful projects like that at Mount Hope.

The mitigation includes protection of water and air quality. It also includes reclamation so the land is returned to a productive post-mining condition. So the project will provide jobs for generations and, the land will be able to be used for generations after that. Sounds like a win-win. Mount Hope should be approved because of these positive benefits.

If a well-planned, environmentally-friendly mine that employs nearly 400 Americans could be up and running within 18 months, who wouldn't support its approval? General Moly has proposed such a project at Mount Hope and it deserves approval. In these tough economic times, it makes sense to support this project.

The environmental impacts of the resumption of mining have been fully assessed in this DEIS. The positive impact that the opening of the Mt Hope mine will have on the state of Nevada and the country are significant and so I urge you to approve and move forward with this plan quickly. Thank you.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 115

Comment 1

Please approve the preferred alternative as outlined in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for General Moly's Mt Hope mine.

If Eureka Moly LLC has the rights to mine Mt Hope, through legal control of valid claims, they should be allowed to mine. The same goes for the water rights.

Now's the time to move in the mining industry. General Moly's DEIS is the way forward. Thanks to the BLM for presenting this project for approval.

Our country needs to get back to work. And Mt Hope is a shovel ready job!

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 116

Comment 1

Please approve the preferred alternative as outlined in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for General Moly's Mt Hope mine.

If Eureka Moly LLC has the rights to mine Mt Hope, through legal control of valid claims, they should be allowed to mine. The same goes for the water rights.

The Mount Hope project is ready to go and should be approved immediately.

General Moly has conducted all the necessary permitting and baseline studies and is capable of beginning construction upon receipt of the ROD.

This means that Nevadans could be put to work as soon as the end of 2012 and that the mine could be producing as early as 2014. The economic recovery of the United States depends on thoughtful projects like that at Mount Hope.

Mining projects hold real potential for turning our dismal economy around.

People need and want to be put back to work and General Moly's proposed mine at Mount Hope can do this. The site has been carefully studied and evaluated and is known to be a source of abundant molybdenum reserves.

This molybdenum is prized in the steel industry for its role in making steel strong. It is in demand and this project can serve this demand. Why wouldn't someone support wise use of our natural resources and the American jobs it creates?

General Moly's DEIS is thorough and deserves approval. We need to do everything we can to strengthen and grow the economy of Nevada and the west in general. This proposed mine will do just that. Thank you.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 117

Comment 1

Allow me to voice my strong support for the proposed mine at Mount Hope.

As outlined in the DEIS, the BLM very thoroughly examined this proposal and the other alternatives and I wish to support the proposed action outlined in the DEIS.

Water is certainly a concern, and the monitoring program will ensure that any impacts from the Mount Hope Mine are well understood. This is a better and more comprehensive monitoring plan than the farmers could ever afford or would otherwise be put in place if the mine was not developed.

Besides monitoring impacts from the mine, it will also show the farmers the ongoing impacts from their pumping. That is an added benefit that is not stated in the EIS but is valuable to the water rights holders in Diamond Valley.

General Moly's Mount Hope Project will create much-needed jobs and generate a much needed resource-and it is doing so while being sensitive to the environment and the needs of those who live and work in Eureka County. In fact, General Moly has worked closely with the county to assure that the mine operates efficiently and in good stewardship of land and water resources.

The growing worldwide steel industry needs a reliable source of molybdenum. We need to develop these resources so that we can create income for local citizens. There is no fear greater than the hopelessness of being jobless and/or unemployable. Clearly, there are competitive pressures in the development of any new resource, but the capability of our country to generate income through otherwise unusable land generates pure profit. I appreciate the planning that General Moly has exhibited in its proposal for the Mt Hope mine and wish to thank the BLM for its consideration in moving this project forward.

I thank you for your time and consideration when reviewing this project.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 118

Comment 1

As a supplier of products and services to the mining industry, Dyno Nobel is extremely interested in seeing the General Moly's Mt. Hope molybdenum mine in Eureka, Nevada receive its federal and state permits, commence construction, and begin operation. We are suffering from the worst economy in decades and understand that Nevada is at the bottom of the list with the only bright spot in the state being the mining industry. In fact, the Mt. Hope Mine will diversify the mining industry in Nevada and will bring the Silver State much needed economic development.

Unfortunately, the Board of Eureka County Commissioners are continually putting up unfounded and unnecessary obstacles to delay the permitting process of General Moly's Mt. Hope project. Indeed, such nonsensical actions by a government entity such as the Eureka County Commissioners, which is well-funded primarily from mining tax revenue, could not only jeopardize the Mt. Hope project, but future natural resource projects as well.

From what is understood, the Mt. Hope project is an environmentally sound project. The management at General Moly is committed to environmental stewardship and will comply with established policies and regulations enforced by the recognized agencies and authorities such as the BLM and the State of Nevada.

While the molybdenum mine at Mt. Hope will directly benefit Dyno Nobel, its employees and their families, it will also have a strong economic effect upon the suppliers from whom we make our purchases. Therefore, this worthwhile project will substantially augment the State of Nevada and our nation's economy during a time when our country and its citizens need it most.

We would like to add our name to those who support the much needed start-up of General Moly's Mt. Hope project in Eureka, not only for Dyno Nobel, but for the general well-being of the citizens of Nevada.

Thank you for your time and interest in this matter.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 119

Comment 1

As a supplier of products and/or services to the mining industry, I am extremely interested in seeing the General Moly Mt. Hope molybdenum mine in Eureka, Nevada receive its federal and state permits, commence construction, and begin operation.

We are suffering from the worst economy in decades, I understand that Nevada is at the bottom of the list and the only bright spot in the state is the mining industry. In fact, the Mt. Hope Mine will diversify the mining industry in Nevada and will bring the Silver State much needed economic development.

Unfortunately, I have read reports that the Board of Eureka County Commissioners are continually putting up unfounded and unnecessary obstacles to delay the permitting process of General Moly's Mt. Hope project. Indeed, such nonsensical actions by a government entity such as the Eureka County Commissioners, which is well-funded primarily from mining tax revenue, could not only jeopardize the Mt. Hope project, but future natural resource projects as well.

From what I understand, the Mt. Hope project is an environmentally sound project. The management at General Moly is committed to environmental stewardship and will comply with established policies and regulations enforced by the recognized agencies and authorities such as the BLM and the State of Nevada.

While the molybdenum mine at Mt. Hope will directly benefit our company, its employees and their families, it will also have a strong economic effect upon the suppliers from whom we make our purchases. Therefore, this worthwhile project will substantially augment the State of Nevada and our nation's economy during a time when our country and its citizens need it most.

We would like to add our name to those who support the much needed start-up of General Moly's Mt. Hope project in Eureka, not only for our company, but for the general well-being of the citizens of Nevada.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 120

Comment 1

I appreciate the opportunity to add my voice to that of others in supporting the preferred alternative for the proposed mine at Mount Hope.

The DEIS presents a clear picture of how General Moly will proceed in opening and operating this mine and the economic, environmental, and social benefits of this mine are deserving of approval.

The fact that the Mount Hope project is fully financed through strategic joint venture and off-take relationships, financially-sound, and ready to go, is just one more reason the project deserves support. In these trying economic times, Nevada, and the entire country, needs to support projects that will grow our economy. General Moly is ready to get to work to do this. Let's help it put Americans back to work.

This plan will see that jobs are returned, the local and regional economy is stimulated, tax revenues are increased, and environmental protections are put in place. This is what Nevada and the nation needs in our present economy. Please approve this plan as soon as possible.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 121

Comment 1

I support the preferred alternative for the proposed mine at Mount Hope.
The DEIS presents a clear picture of how General Moly will operate this mine in an environmentally sound manner.

There are economic and social benefits to approving this project. The Mount Hope project is fully financed through strategic joint venture and off-take relationships. In these trying economic times Nevada needs to support projects that will grow our economy.

The EIS demonstrates that a modern mine can operated in manner that produces minerals while protecting the environment and enhancing the socio-economics of a region.

As a resident of White Pine County, employment opportunities are limited.
The presence of a long term employer within communiting distance is very important to us!

Please approve this project!

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 122

Comment 1

As an employee of a company that supplies products to the mining industry, I am interested in seeing General Moly's Mt. Hope project in Eureka, NV receive all requisite permits required to begin its operation. More importantly, as a long time and concerned citizen of Nevada, a state in economic trouble and one that depends heavily upon its mining industry, I support any environmentally sound mining venture that can help bring economic relief to our state and to the businesses that rely upon this. I view General Moly's Mt. Hope project as such a venture. From my knowledge of mineral processing in general and General Moly in particular, I see no justified reason why unfounded obstacles to the permitting of this operation should be imposed upon it by Eureka County Commissioners or any other governmental entity.

I and my entire family, Nevada residents all, support and favor the expedited start-up of General Moly's Mount Hope project. It will bring much needed economic and employment value to our state of Nevada and to the Unites States as well.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 123

Comment 1

Please include my comments as part of the public response to the proposed molybdenum mine at Mount Hope. This project should move forward without delay.

I have great appreciation for wild horses, and the mitigation proposed for the Mt Hope project will provide water to horse populations

that can experience severe water stress. If for no other reason, this project should be approved for the beneficial impacts to the wild horses.

By tapping into our abundant natural resources here at home, our economic outlook could be vastly improved. As an American company, run by American management and a majority of American shareholders, General Moly's Mount Hope project will contribute greatly to our economy.

The BLM has done a great job of evaluating General Moly's Mt Hope mine proposal. I am convinced that this project should be approved without further delay.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 124

Comment 1

Thank you for the opportunity to submit a comment regarding the DEIS for General Moly's Mt Hope mine. I strongly support the preferred alternative and the 400 jobs this project will create.

Unlike other alternatives, the Proposed Alternative for the mine at Mt Hope should be supported. It takes into account the various factors of the project, such as water use and wildlife protection, while at the same time presenting a project that can be readily and profitably implemented. And the sooner this project is approved, the sooner we can put Americans to work.

General Moly has done a thorough study of the proposed molybdenum mine at Mt. Hope, including consideration for the surrounding environment and long-term outlook of the area. I am confident that in addition to improving the livelihood of the area immediately surrounding the mine, this project will do a great deal to boost the economy of Nevada and the rest of the country. We could use more projects like the one at Mt. Hope and I encourage its speedy approval.

General Moly's Mount Hope Project will create much-needed jobs and generate a much needed resource-and it is doing so while being sensitive to the environment and the needs of those who live and work in Eureka County. In fact, General Moly has worked closely with the county to assure that the mine operates efficiently and in good stewardship of land and water resources.

With the BLM as the lead agency, this Draft Environmental Impact Statement has covered all the bases and exceeded the federal requirements for planning, analysis and mitigation. You are to be commended.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 125

Comment 1

I appreciate being able to comment on the Mount Hope mine proposal and wish to add my support to those who wish to see this mine open and operating as soon as possible.

This is an excellent project that has been studied extensively and from every perspective. Just by way of example, consider that Appendix C, "Mitigation Summary Plan" alone is 52 pages, covers everything from Auditory to Wildlife - with a hefty section on Water in between. In turn, Attachment 4 to that Plan, which regards Townsend's Big-Eared Bats, itself has an Appendix 1 and an Appendix 2. Quite literally, the Appendices have Appendices. Let's put that good work - and good people - to work. Now.

When faced with a poor economic outlook, one must look for ways to improve the situation. General Moly's proposed mine at Mount Hope offers just such a solution and deserves approval. Thank you.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 126

Comment 1

Please move forward with Bureau of Land Management's Preferred Alternative as detailed in Chapter 2 of the Draft Environmental Impact Statement.

I especially appreciate the fact you did not select Alternative 2.2.4, the so-called "Go Slow" option. While I understand why you analyzed it, this Alternative is a classic example of something that may sound good, but won't work in the real world. Furthermore, even if it did work economically (which is highly doubtful), as you point out "water consumption on a per-unit basis would be higher than in the Proposed Action." That's reason enough to go with your recommendation.

The Draft EIS, including the mitigation discussed, outline how the Mount Hope Mine will have a minimal impact on the county's environment, which is very important. In addition, the project will create an average of more than 375 jobs during the year-and-a-half construction period, followed by 400 employees during the life of the mine, which is expected to be four decades. That's a huge shot in the arm for the regional economy, the local and state tax based, and most importantly, hundreds of families who will benefit. That's great news - if the proposed action is endorsed and the ROD is favorable.

I have been observing the trends in the economy and believe a major component to economic recovery is mining projects. One such project proposed by General Moly, the Mount Hope mine, is ready to go and needs only to receive final approval before it can begin contributing to our economic recovery. Not only will the mine employ around 400 people, it has a lifespan of nearly 80 years, ensuring that American families will reap the benefits of this project for years to come.

The important thing is that the environmental impacts of the proposed mine at Mt Hope have been fully assessed by the BLM and that General Moly has been very concerned with conducting this project responsibly. Mining at Mt Hope is needed now to bring 400 good paying jobs to the area. I ask that you approve this plan in an expeditious manner. Thank you.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 127

Comment 1

Our environment is important to our quality of life, but equally important is the ability to make a living. The proposed mine at Mt. Hope as outlined in the preferred alternative strikes the right balance between environmental stewardship and economic growth. It should receive approval and be allowed to move forward.

As someone who cares about the environment and making sure American families have the ability to make a living, I couldn't ask for a better project than that proposed by General Moly for the molybdenum mine at Mount Hope. This project has taken into consideration the great beauty and wildlife of the surrounding environment. It will also be a major source of employment for the region. More mineral-rich areas should follow General Moly's example when it comes to utilizing our natural resources soundly and with great economic benefit.

Water is certainly a concern, and the monitoring program will really ensure that any impacts from the Mount Hope Mine are well understood.

This is a better and more comprehensive monitoring plan than the farmers could ever afford or would otherwise be put in place if the mine didn't get built. Besides monitoring impacts from the mine, it will also show the farmers the ongoing impacts from their pumping. That is an added benefit that is not stated in the EIS but is valuable to the water rights holders in Diamond Valley.

Unlike other alternatives, the Proposed Alternative for the mine at Mt Hope should be supported. It takes into account the various factors of the project, such as water use and wildlife protection, while at the same time presenting a project that can be readily and profitably implemented. And the sooner this project is approved, the sooner we can put Americans to work.

This project will create additional revenue for a state that, like many others, can really use the economic boost. It will employ 400 people and go a long way toward revitalizing central Nevada. Thank you for considering my comments and please approve the plan and make this molybdenum mine a reality.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 128

Comment 1

I would like to contribute to the public commentary on the Mount Hope mine proposal and voice my support for the preferred alternative. Please support this proposal and help get this project moving.

Wild horses are overpopulating the range and destroying the values of a balanced ecosystem. The wild horse mitigation for the Mt Hope project will help BLM be better able to balance the population. This project is socio-economically beneficial on many levels.

The agency was correct in the alternatives in the "considered and eliminated from consideration" category, as well as in analyzing but dismissing the others. For example, while you must consider a No Action Alternative, it would be an incredible waste of a valuable resources and of an opportunity for economic benefit to many, many people. It was also wise to not select the Slower, Longer Project Alternative. While it may sound appealing, this approach would not lead to a longer mine life, but would more likely result in the project's economics not penciling out and become a de facto No Action Alternative.

I sincerely appreciate the opportunity to submit these comments on the DEIS. Let's get this project moving so there are more good-paying jobs in the U.S.A.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 129

Comment 1

I write today in support of General Moly's molybdenum mine. This is a project that is easy to support because it will benefit families both within and outside of its central Nevada location. The proposed alternative presents a path to employment for many-let's makes it happen.

Any time a major company has taken the time and invested the money that General Moly has to ensure that its proposed mine at Mount Hope is environmentally-sound and eco-friendly, then I say, "Let them begin." This project puts people to work and just makes sense.

Unlike other alternatives, the Proposed Alternative for the mine at Mt Hope should be supported. It takes into account the various factors of the project, such as water use and wildlife protection, while at the same time presenting a project that can be readily and profitably implemented. And the sooner this project is approved, the sooner we can put Americans to work.

The Proposed Alternative for General Moly's Mount Hope project should be approved. It will get the project off the ground in the most efficient and environmentally-sound manner and it presents a long-term view that the other alternatives lack.

Mining is a tough industry fraught with uncertainty. The Mount Hope project, however, offers all the economic benefits of a long-term mine without any of the uncertainty of certain types of mining. Molybdenum is needed by the steel industry and will be for the foreseeable future. Let's give it a boost by approving this EIS.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 130

Comment 1

I say let's get moving with the Mount Hope mine and implement your Preferred Alternative ASAP.

Wildlife is important to me and wildlife in Nevada needs to be protected.

The mitigation for Mt Hope includes burial of powerlines and pipelines in the wellfield which will be a huge help to the sage grouse populations.

This shows that the Mt Hope project will benefit wildlife, especially the sage grouse while still bringing this important project and the accompanying jobs to NV.

I am concerned with the vitality and flow of streams and springs.

However, it looks like EMLLC has a very thorough and rigorous monitoring program. The information the mine collects will help us understand the hydrology in the area - including how the Diamond Valley over-pumping is affecting conditions. This will be helpful to protecting and preserving the water, which is the most important resource. The Mount Hope project should be approved because of this beneficial impact.

As you well know, an EIS is supposed to take a "hard look at environmental consequences" and reach a decision. This draft has done exactly that, and therefore it's time to move ahead so more than 300 families can have the security of long-term, good-paying jobs.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 131

Comment 1

I appreciate the opportunity to add my voice to that of others in supporting the preferred alternative for the proposed mine at Mount Hope.

The DEIS presents a clear picture of how General Moly will proceed in opening and operating this mine and the economic, environmental, and social benefits of this mine are deserving of approval.

The Mount Hope project is ready to go and should be approved immediately.

General Moly has conducted all the necessary permitting and baseline studies and is capable of beginning construction upon receipt of the ROD.

This means that Nevadans could be put to work as soon as the end of 2012 and that the mine could be producing as early as 2014. The economic recovery of the United States depends on thoughtful projects like that at Mount Hope.

The environmental impacts of the resumption of mining have been fully assessed in this DEIS. The positive impact that the opening of the Mt Hope mine will have on the state of Nevada and the country are significant and so I urge you to approve and move forward with this plan quickly. Thank you.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 132

Comment 1

Thank you for the opportunity to contribute to the comment period for General Moly's Mount Hope DEIS. I support this project and hope to see it up and running as soon as possible.

This mine will provide a needed commodity while having a modest environmental impact. Some of the environmental aspect that make this an excellent location and use of the land include: no endangered species at the site; only a little pit de-watering is required; no wetlands; no sacred sites.

Wildlife is important to me and wildlife in Nevada needs to be protected.

The mitigation for Mt Hope includes burial of powerlines and pipelines in the wellfield which will be a huge help to the sage grouse populations.

This shows that the Mt Hope project will benefit wildlife, especially the sage grouse while still bringing this important project and the accompanying jobs to NV.

Mining projects hold real potential for turning our dismal economy around.

People need and want to be put back to work and General Moly's proposed mine at Mount Hope can do this. The site has been carefully studied and evaluated and is known to be a source of abundant molybdenum reserves.

This molybdenum is prized in the steel industry for its role in making steel strong. It is in demand and this project can serve this demand. Why wouldn't someone support wise use of our natural resources and the American jobs it creates?

I applaud the BLM's work on this Draft EIS. It is a more-than thorough "hard look" at the impacts of the Mount Hope Project and reaches the right conclusion, that this project needs to move ahead. Thank you.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 133

Comment 1

I recommend you approve the Mount Hope mine, and that it be approved as soon as possible. The preferred alternative clearly outlines a plan that works for the benefit of mining and conservation in Nevada and that will extract a commodity that is in demand by the worldwide steel market.

Strike while the iron is hot! The proposed mine at Mt. Hope is ready to begin employing people and the timing couldn't be better. The resources market is favorable and Americans need good-paying jobs. General Moly is prepared to provide for both.

Any time a major company has taken the time and invested the money that General Moly has to ensure that its proposed mine at Mount Hope is environmentally-sound and eco-friendly, then I say, "Let them begin." This project puts people to work and just makes sense.

This EIS is finely crafted and deserving of approval. The progress this mine represents should not be hampered. It's time to get folks back to work!

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 134

Comment 1

I support American jobs and the proposed mine at Mount Hope will create much needed employment for nearly 400 Americans. Please include my comments as part of the public response to General Moly's plan and please support the Preferred Alternative.

This mine will provide a needed commodity while having a modest environmental impact. Some of the environmental aspect that make this an excellent location and use of the land include: no endangered species at the site; only a little pit de-watering is required; no wetlands; no sacred sites.

This is an excellent project that has been studied extensively and from every perspective. Just by way of example, consider that Appendix C, "Mitigation Summary Plan" alone is 52 pages, covers everything from Auditory to Wildlife - with a hefty section on Water in between. In turn, Attachment 4 to that Plan, which regards Townsend's Big-Eared Bats, itself has an Appendix 1 and an Appendix 2. Quite literally, the Appendices have Appendices. Let's put that good work - and good people - to work. Now.

Now is the time to mine and General Moly has a project that will have long-term positive impacts on the economy of Nevada. It has presented a solid study for its Mt Hope project and should be allowed to move forward without further delay. Thank you for allowing me the opportunity to comment.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 135

Comment 1

As a supplier of products and/or services to the mining industry, I am extremely interested in seeing the General Moly Mt. Hope molybdenum mine in Eureka, Nevada receive its federal and state permits, commence construction, and begin operation.

We are suffering from the worst economy in decades, I understand that Nevada is at the bottom of the list and the only bright spot in the state is the mining industry. In fact, the Mt. Hope Mine will diversify the mining industry in Nevada and will bring the Silver State much needed economic development.

Unfortunately, I have read reports that the Board of Eureka County Commissioners are continually putting up unfounded and unnecessary obstacles to delay the permitting process of General Moly's Mt. Hope project. Indeed, such nonsensical actions by a government entity such as the Eureka County Commissioners, which is well-funded primarily from mining tax revenue, could not only jeopardize the Mt. Hope project, but future natural resource projects as well.

From what I understand, the Mt. Hope project is an environmentally sound project. The management at General Moly is committed to environmental stewardship and will comply with established policies and regulations enforced by the recognized agencies and authorities such as the BLM and the State of Nevada.

While the molybdenum mine at Mt. Hope will directly benefit our company, its employees and their families, it will also have a strong economic effect upon the suppliers from whom we make our purchases. Therefore, this worthwhile project will substantially augment the State of Nevada and our nation's economy during a time when our country and its citizens need it most.

We would like to add our name to those who support the much needed start-up of General Moly's Mt. Hope project in Eureka, not only for our company, but for the general well-being of the citizens of Nevada.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 136

Comment 1

America needs jobs. America needs domestic sources of materials. America does not need more obstructionist overpaid biased bureaucrats doing studies intended to find away to stop job creation in the worship of earth as a diety. Please include my comments as part of the public response to the proposed molybdenum mine at Mount Hope. This project should move forward without delay.

I have great appreciation for wild horses, and the mitigation proposed for the Mt Hope project will provide water to horse populations that can experience severe water stress. If for no other reason, this project should be approved for the beneficial impacts to the wild horses.

Now is the time to mine and General Moly has a project that will have long-term positive impacts on the economy of Nevada. It has presented a solid study for its Mt Hope project and should be allowed to move forward without further delay. Thank you for allowing me the opportunity to comment.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 137

Comment 1

I would like to state my support, to the BLMs public comment period, for the proposed mine at Mount Hope and ask that the preferred alternative receive approval.

I believe these jobs are important for both rural and all of Nevada, and projects like these help maintain a strong United States. I also believe the appropriate environmental safeguards have been addressed.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 138

Comment 1

Please accept my comments on the proposed alternative for General Moly's Mount Hope DEIS. I approve of this plan and would like to see its quick implementation.

Any alternative that seeks to delay or hinder in any way the successful development of the molybdenum mine at Mount Hope is an alternative that should be swiftly rejected. We need to put Americans back to work and we can do this by supporting General Moly's Proposed Alternative because it will open mining operations within 18 months of approval and put to work nearly 400 people. It's a good project for Nevada and a good project for the lucrative future of mining in the United States and will give a real boost to our economy.

I have great appreciation for wild horses, and the mitigation proposed for the Mt Hope project will provide water to horse populations

that can experience severe water stress. If for no other reason, this project should be approved for the beneficial impacts to the wild horses.

With the BLM as the lead agency, this Draft Environmental Impact Statement has covered all the bases and exceeded the federal requirements for planning, analysis and mitigation. You are to be commended.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 139

Comment 1

Please approve the preferred alternative as outlined in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for General Moly's Mt Hope mine.

The mitigation includes protection of water and air quality. It also includes reclamation so the land is returned to a productive post-mining condition. So the project will provide jobs for generations and, the land will be able to be used for generations after that. Sounds like a win-win. Mount Hope should be approved because of these positive benefits.

Now is the time to mine and General Moly has a project that will have long-term positive impacts on the economy of Nevada. It has presented a solid study for its Mt Hope project and should be allowed to move forward without further delay. Thank you for allowing me the opportunity to comment.

I was in the Mining industry for over 25 years (15 at Round Mountain NV, 8 in Montana, 3 in New Mexico). Changed to Gas and Oil because the market for mining is so unreliable and permitting is so lengthy. Please make America stronger by putting Mining back into our industries.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 140

Comment 1

The proposed molybdenum mine at Mount Hope should be approved. General Moly has a clear and environmentally-sound plan outlined in the proposed action to make this mine a reality and it will do much to contribute to the economy and vitality of the region and the country.

General Moly has done a thorough study of the proposed molybdenum mine at Mt. Hope, including consideration for the surrounding environment and long-term outlook of the area. I am confident that in addition to improving the livelihood of the area immediately surrounding the mine, this project will do a great deal to boost the economy of Nevada and the rest of the country. We could use more projects like the one at Mt. Hope and I encourage its speedy approval.

Thanks again to the BLM for allowing public comment on this important proposal. It should go forward as soon as possible.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 141

Comment 1

I appreciate the opportunity to add my voice to that of others in supporting the preferred alternative for the proposed mine at Mount Hope.

The DEIS presents a clear picture of how General Moly will proceed in opening and operating this mine and the economic, environmental, and social benefits of this mine are deserving of approval.

The fact that the Mount Hope project is fully financed through strategic joint venture and off-take relationships, financially-sound, and

ready to go, is just one more reason the project deserves support. In these trying economic times, Nevada, and the entire country, needs to support projects that will grow our economy. General Moly is ready to get to work to do this. Let's help it put Americans back to work.

With the increasing worldwide demand for aircraft and renewable energy projects, the American steel industry needs a reliable and abundant source of molybdenum. As you know, molybdenum is primarily used in the steel industry to strengthen carbon and stainless steels and to reduce corrosion. It makes steel capable of handling high stress and temperatures. General Moly's Mount Hope Project has the ability to produce the molybdenum necessary to keep this industry strong and able to supply the world's need for steel.

The growing worldwide steel industry needs a reliable source of molybdenum. I appreciate the planning that General Moly has exhibited in its proposal for the Mt Hope mine and wish to thank the BLM for its consideration in moving this project forward.

Natural resource development and protecting the environment are not mutually exclusive. With proper planning, as demonstrated by General Moly, resources can be developed with very little impact to the environment.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 142

Comment 1

General Moly has proposed a molybdenum mine at Mt Hope that is both environmentally-sound and economically beneficial. I ask that you approve this project and the preferred alternative without delay.

It would be a terrible waste to not tap into this resource, right here in the U.S.A. The fact that this mine will employ literally hundreds of people for 44 years is reason enough to proceed, and when you consider all the environmental safeguards and mitigation the company has agreed to, it's clear the BLM should approve the preferred alternative and issue a ROD.

The environmental impacts of the resumption of mining have been fully assessed in this DEIS. The positive impact that the opening of the Mt Hope mine will have on the state of Nevada and the country are significant and so I urge you to approve and move forward with this plan quickly. Thank you.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 143

Comment 1

I support American jobs and the proposed mine at Mount Hope will create much needed employment for nearly 400 Americans. Please include my comments as part of the public response to General Moly's plan and please support the Preferred Alternative.

This is an excellent project that has been studied extensively and from every perspective. Just by way of example, consider that Appendix C, "Mitigation Summary Plan" alone is 52 pages, covers everything from Auditory to Wildlife - with a hefty section on Water in between. In turn, Attachment 4 to that Plan, which regards Townsend's Big-Eared Bats, itself has an Appendix 1 and an Appendix 2. Quite literally, the Appendices have Appendices. Let's put that good work - and good people - to work. Now.

Returning nearly 400 critically needed jobs to Nevada is paramount for the local, state, and national economy. I urge you to make a decision that allows General Moly to begin hiring and mining. Thank you.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 144

Comment 1

It is with great concern for the environment that I write to ask your support of the BLMs preferred alternative in General Moly's proposed Mount Hope mine project. The pains that have been taken to strike a balance between environmental and economic concerns is impressive. This project is an example of how mines should be developed and I would like to see it move forward without delay.

By tapping into our abundant natural resources here at home, our economic outlook could be vastly improved. As an American company, run by American management and a majority of American shareholders, General Moly's Mount Hope project will contribute greatly to our economy.

The environmental impacts of the resumption of mining have been fully assessed in this DEIS. The positive impact that the opening of the Mt Hope mine will have on the state of Nevada and the country are significant and so I urge you to approve and move forward with this plan quickly. Thank you.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 145

Comment 1

As a supplier of products and services to the mining industry, Petrochem is extremely interested in seeing the General Moly Mt. Hope molybdenum mine in Eureka, Nevada receive its federal and state permits, commence construction, and begin operation.

At a proposed 400 permanent jobs, the Mt. Hope Mine will help diversify the mining industry in Nevada and will bring Nevada and Eureka County much needed economic development. Besides the mine itself, the support businesses necessary will bring in additional dollars and prosperity to the existing businesses in Eureka County.

I have read reports that the Board of Eureka County Commissioners are attempting to delay the permitting process of General Moly's Mt. Hope project. It is hard to understand why a government entity such as the Eureka County Commission, which is well-funded primarily from mining tax revenue, would take actions that could not only jeopardize the Mt. Hope project, but future natural resource projects as well.

From what I have read, Mt. Hope is an environmentally sound project. The management at General Moly is committed to environmental stewardship and will comply with established policies and regulations enforced by the recognized agencies and authorities such as the BLM and the State of Nevada. The additional efforts by the company to help provide housing, make land access available to the Native American community, and avoid damaging cultural resources in the area show their desire and intention to be good neighbors.

We would like to add our name to those who support the much needed start-up of General Moly's Mt. Hope project in Eureka, not only for our company, but for the general well-being of the citizens of Nevada.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 146

Comment 1

As a manager of a Reno staffing company, I can see where opening the Moly mine in Eureka would provide jobs in the construction field for many unemployed workers here in Reno. A sincere effort has been put forth to make sure enviromental concerns have been addressed. I support the Moly Mine.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 147

Comment 1

Please approve the proposed Mt. Hope molybdenum mine by supporting the preferred alternative. This is an excellent project and one that will bring broad-ranging economic benefits to Nevada and to the national economic outlook for years to come.

The Mt. Hope project is one of the world's largest and highest-grade deposits of undeveloped molybdenum. The property contains 1.3 billion pounds of proven and probable reserves. Developing this mine guarantees Americans can be put to work for years to come. It is estimated that this mine will operate for 80 years, ensuring a future for families in the surrounding area. Its development should be supported, the sooner the better.

The Mount Hope project is ready to go and should be approved immediately.

General Moly has conducted all the necessary permitting and baseline studies and is capable of beginning construction upon receipt of the ROD.

This means that Nevadans could be put to work as soon as the end of 2012 and that the mine could be producing as early as 2014. The economic recovery of the United States depends on thoughtful projects like that at Mount Hope.

Strike while the iron is hot! The proposed mine at Mt. Hope is ready to begin employing people and the timing couldn't be better. The resources market is favorable and Americans need good-paying jobs. General Moly is prepared to provide for both.

Congratulations on presenting a Draft Environmental Impact Statement that clearly outlines a project deserving of support. Thank you for accepting public comment in this matter. I hope this project can commence in short order.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 148

Comment 1

Our economy and our families are suffering. We can do something about this by supporting the proposed mine at Mount Hope and approving the preferred alternative that will put people to work quickly and will keep them gainfully employed for years to come.

When it comes to approving the Mt. Hope mine, I think the main thing to consider is what it will do for our suffering economy. General Moly plans to employ around 400 people in good-paying jobs and this will have a real impact on the surrounding community and the country as a whole.

I especially appreciate the fact you did not select Alternative 2.2.4, the so-called "Go Slow" option. While I understand why you analyzed it, this Alternative is a classic example of something that may sound good, but won't work in the real world. Furthermore, even if it did work economically (which is highly doubtful), as you point out "water consumption on a per-unit basis would be higher than in the Proposed Action." That's reason enough to go with your recommendation.

Thank you for letting me submit this letter in support of the project.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 149

Comment 1

Our environment is important to our quality of life, but equally important is the ability to make a living. The proposed mine at Mt. Hope as outlined in the preferred alternative strikes the right balance between environmental stewardship and economic growth. It should receive approval and be allowed to move forward.

As someone who cares about the environment and making sure American families have the ability to make a living, I couldn't ask for a better project than that proposed by General Moly for the molybdenum mine at Mount Hope. This project has taken into consideration the great beauty and wildlife of the surrounding environment. It will also be a major source of employment for the region. More mineral-rich areas should follow General Moly's example when it comes to utilizing our natural resources soundly and

with great economic benefit.

I have great appreciation for wild horses, and the mitigation proposed for the Mt Hope project will provide water to horse populations that can experience severe water stress. If for no other reason, this project should be approved for the beneficial impacts to the wild horses.

Unlike other alternatives, the Proposed Alternative for the mine at Mt Hope should be supported. It takes into account the various factors of the project, such as water use and wildlife protection, while at the same time presenting a project that can be readily and profitably implemented. And the sooner this project is approved, the sooner we can put Americans to work.

With the BLM as the lead agency, this Draft Environmental Impact Statement has covered all the bases and exceeded the federal requirements for planning, analysis and mitigation. You are to be commended.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 150

Comment 1

Thank you for the opportunity to contribute to the comment period for General Moly's Mount Hope DEIS. I support this project and hope to see it up and running as soon as possible. Mining and mineral production is essential to the long term strategic interests of this country, and I firmly believe we should concentrate on expanding our domestic production of all mineral materials over foreign imports.

The Draft EIS, including the mitigation discussed, outline how the Mount Hope Mine will have a minimal impact on the county's environment, which is very important. In addition, the project will create an average of more than 375 jobs during the year-and-a-half construction period, followed by 400 employees during the life of the mine, which is expected to be four decades. That's a huge shot in the arm for the regional economy, the local and state tax based, and most importantly, hundreds of families who will benefit. That's great news - if the proposed action is endorsed and the ROD is favorable.

The BLM has done a great job of evaluating General Moly's Mt Hope mine proposal. I am convinced that this project should be approved without further delay.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 151

Comment 1

Approval of the Proposed Action as outlined in General Moly's DEIS will bring jobs and stability to Nevada. Mining projects can easily contribute to the economic recovery of this nation and this project is ready to make that happen.

The study done to evaluate potential impacts from the project shows there won't be any significant impacts to Diamond Valley, which is the main competing use for water in the area. This is not just a claim by the mining company but is obviously a very expensive and technically robust scientific analysis. If this level of detail is representative of the studies done for this EIS, then without question the analysis is adequate.

If a well-planned, environmentally-friendly mine that employs nearly 400 Americans could be up and running within 18 months, who wouldn't support its approval? General Moly has proposed such a project at Mount Hope and it deserves approval. In these tough economic times, it makes sense to support this project.

Thanks again to the BLM for allowing public comment on this important proposal. It should go forward as soon as possible.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 152

Comment 1

We are writing on behalf of our many business stakeholders, and particularly our 120 employees who are located in the state of Nevada, to encourage support of the General Moly's Mt. Hope Project.

We represent Purcell Tire and Rubber Co., who purchased a Nevada company, D&D Tire Inc, in 2008. We provide products, service, and consultation to mines across North America and Mexico. We have been operating in the State of Nevada for almost 30 years. Matt Johnson is a lifelong Nevada Resident, who has worked in the Mining Industry for most of his life.

We plan on supporting the Mt. Hope project with our Tire Management Program. The main purpose of our Tire Management Program is to help mines to improve tire performance, reduce the amount of tires consumed, reduce overall mining costs, and provide a recycling program for their worn out tires. This helps the mine to decrease their carbon footprint and reduce their environmental impact in relation to tires.

In our understanding, General Moly is committed to reducing their environmental impact and following any established guidelines, regulations, and policies from Federal and State agencies.

With our current economic recession, Nevada is one of the hardest hit states. Mining is one of the main lifelines we have to help Nevada through this tough time. This project brings many new jobs and a positive economic effect to our communities, especially with our state unemployment rate at 12.2% (Nov 2011). In a time of severe recession, we believe the overall value this project brings to local citizens and our government is far more positive than just simply the estimate of the number of jobs it creates. It will help bring a diversified mining industry to Nevada, boost our economic development, and strengthen our local communities.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 153

Comment 1

Our economy and our families are suffering. We can do something about this by supporting the proposed mine at Mount Hope and approving the preferred alternative that will put people to work quickly and will keep them gainfully employed for years to come.

Any time a major company has taken the time and invested the money that General Moly has to ensure that its proposed mine at Mount Hope is environmentally-sound and eco-friendly, then I say, "Let them begin." This project puts people to work and just makes sense.

Today, with the extreme recession facing our nation, the mining industry seems to offer a path to recovery. For this reason, I support the proposed General Moly mine at Mount Hope. It is ready to put Americans to work and produce the molybdenum demanded by the steel industry worldwide. If mining can provide a way to economic recovery, shouldn't we all support this project going forward?

Now's the time to move in the mining industry. General Moly's DEIS is the way forward. Thanks to the BLM for presenting this project for approval.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 154

Comment 1

Approval of the Proposed Action as outlined in General Moly's DEIS will be an asset to the continued rehabilitation of the Nevadan economy, by helping to bring jobs and tax revenue to the State of Nevada. Mining this resource will help develop income for the state, but also reduce importation, and thus go somewhat towards changing our National balance of payments.

The United States has the natural resources that can help pull us out of our current economic depression. With abundant stores of molybdenum, General Moly's proposed Mount Hope mine is an example of such a resource that should be put to use in this cause.

The agency was correct in the alternatives in the "considered and eliminated from consideration" category, as well as in analyzing but dismissing the others. For example, while you must consider a No Action Alternative, it would be an incredible waste of a valuable resources and of an opportunity for economic benefit to many.

This project is the type of "oven" ready Project that helps provide employment, international income through exports, roll on benefits to non mining sectors of transportation, commerce and port authorities, and saves national expenditure abroad. We need to help get this great nation back on its feet

Thank you for reviewing my comments. This project is deserves approval and should not be delayed.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 155

Comment 1

I appreciate being able to comment on the Mount Hope mine proposal and wish to add my support to those who wish to see this mine open and operating as soon as possible.

Water use proposed by the mine is well-thought out. They are planning to pump only what they need to produce the molybdenum, and they plan to recycle a lot of water back from the tailings pond to the mill circuit.

This is an environmentally sound approach and will make the project sustainable for generations to come.

I have been observing the trends in the economy and believe a major component to economic recovery is mining projects. One such project proposed by General Moly, the Mount Hope mine, is ready to go and needs only to receive final approval before it can begin contributing to our economic recovery. Not only will the mine employ around 400 people, it has a lifespan of nearly 80 years, ensuring that American families will reap the benefits of this project for years to come.

General Moly's mine at Mount Hope will create jobs and livelihoods in a beautiful area of the country. This project deserves support for all the benefits it will provide. Thank you for letting me lend my support to it.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 156

Comment 1

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement and the Preferred Alternative for General Moly's proposed molybdenum mine should be approved and the mine should be allowed to move forward. It's good for central Nevada and her neighbors.

The Proposed Alternative for General Moly's Mount Hope project should be approved. It will get the project off the ground in the most efficient and environmentally-sound manner and it presents a long-term view that the other alternatives lack.

Wild horses are overpopulating the range and destroying the values of a balanced ecosystem. The wild horse mitigation for the Mt Hope project will help BLM be better able to balance the population. This project is socio-economically beneficial on many levels.

Despite the nit-picking from naysayers, this EIS exhibits that a modern mine can be planned by a company and evaluated by an agency in ways that allow for production that provides necessary minerals while protecting the environment and enhancing the socio-economics of a region. Job well done!

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 157

Comment 1

I would like to add my support to the BLMs public comment period for the proposed mine at Mount Hope and ask that the preferred alternative receive approval.

Today, with the extreme recession facing our nation, the mining industry seems to offer a path to recovery. For this reason, I support

the proposed General Moly mine at Mount Hope. It is ready to put Americans to work and produce the molybdenum demanded by the steel industry worldwide. If mining can provide a way to economic recovery, shouldn't we all support this project going forward?

Water is certainly a concern, and the monitoring program will really ensure that any impacts from the Mount Hope Mine are well understood.

This is a better and more comprehensive monitoring plan than the farmers could ever afford or would otherwise be put in place if the mine didn't get built. Besides monitoring impacts from the mine, it will also show the farmers the ongoing impacts from their pumping. That is an added benefit that is not stated in the EIS but is valuable to the water rights holders in Diamond Valley.

This project will create additional revenue for a state that, like many others, can really use the economic boost. It will employ 400 people and go a long way toward revitalizing central Nevada. Thank you for considering my comments and please approve the plan and make this molybdenum mine a reality.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 158

Comment 1

I have reviewed the Mt. Hope project Draft EIS in detail and it appears to be very complete. I support the Mt. Hope project being permitted and allowed to move forward into operation. More than ever before, our country needs this kind of investment, the job creation that will result, and the overall economic benefit that will derive from the operation. I am convinced that the project can be developed in an environmentally and socially responsible manner.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 159

Comment 1

As a contractor of services to the mining industry, I am extremely interested in seeing the General Moly Mt. Hope molybdenum mine in Eureka, Nevada receive its federal and state permits, commence construction, and begin operation.

We are suffering from the worst economy in decades, I understand that Nevada is at the bottom of the list and the only bright spot in the state is the mining industry. In fact, the Mt. Hope Mine will diversify the mining industry in Nevada and will bring the Silver State much needed economic development.

Unfortunately, I have read reports that the Board of Eureka County Commissioners are continually putting up unfounded and unnecessary obstacles to delay the permitting process of General Moly's Mt. Hope project. Indeed, such nonsensical actions by a government entity such as the Eureka County Commissioners, which is well-funded primarily from mining tax revenue, could not only jeopardize the Mt. Hope project, but future natural resource projects as well.

From what I understand, the Mt. Hope project is an environmentally sound project. The management at General Moly is committed to environmental stewardship and will comply with established policies and regulations enforced by the recognized agencies and authorities such as the BLM and the State of Nevada.

While the molybdenum mine at Mt. Hope will have a strong economic effect upon the suppliers from whom we make our purchases. Therefore, this worthwhile project will substantially augment the State of Nevada and our nation's economy during a time when our country and its citizens need it most.

We would like to add our name to those who support the much needed start-up of General Moly's Mt. Hope project in Eureka, not only for our company, but for the general well-being of the citizens of Nevada.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 160

Comment 1

Snyder Mechanical is supplier of goods in services, having served the mining industry for almost 30 years in Nevada. As a valued business partner in the mining industry, we remain hopeful that General Moly's Mount Hope molybdenum mine in Eureka, Nevada obtains its federal and state permits, commences construction and begins minima operations.

As an employer of 25 to 50 valued employees. Mount Hope could potentially add a significant economic boost in Nevada. Our valued employees and their families rely heavily on the success of the local mining industry. Mount Hope will provide opportunities not currently available in today's local economy.

In addition to an economic resource, General Moly's Mount Hope project possesses a team of competent and experienced leaders, committed to environmental compliance. Snyder Mechanical endorses General Moly's efforts in applying for and obtaining, the requisite permits. It is our plea that any entity, governmental and nongovernmental, refrains from imposing unfounded and unnecessary obstacles as a means to delay the already thorough and comprehensive permitting process. Indeed such obstacles will establish a dangerous precedent that could jeopardize General Moly's Mount Hope project and other future natural resource projects. While Snyder Mechanical desires General Moly's Mount I lope project to directly benefit it and its employees and their families, it will also have a significant economic impact on many businesses not providing goods and services directly to General Moly. Delay or preclusion of the Mount Hope project could negatively "trickle down" to many local and regional businesses. Therefore, this project will substantially augment Nevada's and the nation's economy during a time when our country and its citizens need it most.

Snyder Mechanical would like to add its name to those individuals and businesses that support General Moly's Mount Hope project in Eureka, Nevada

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 161

Comment 1

Allow me to submit my comments regarding General Moly's proposed mine at Mount Hope. I fully support this project and the Preferred Alternative as outlined in the DEIS.

I am an avid hunter and have used the Mt Hope area for hunting. However, there are not many jobs in the immediate area of Eureka. If hunters don't have jobs so they can live in the area where they recreate, it significantly reduces their hunting opportunities. In other words, bringing jobs to the area will increase the ability of hunters to take advantage of the hunting opportunities in this part of Nevada. This project will still allow access to many great hunting areas. Additionally, the project will provide needed employment so people interested in hunting can make a great wage in this outdoorsman's paradise area of NV.

The proposed mine at Mt Hope is a project that deserves full support and speedy implementation. Our economic outlook is bleak, but this mine offers real hope that we can turn at least a portion of the economy around.

General Moly should be allowed to proceed as soon as possible on developing a mine that has proven viability and a long life ahead of it.

When faced with a poor economic outlook, one must look for ways to improve the situation. General Moly's proposed mine at Mount Hope offers just such a solution and deserves approval. Thank you.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 162

Comment 1

As a supplier of products and/or services to the mining industry, I am extremely interested in seeing the General Moly Mt. Hope molybdenum mine in Eureka, Nevada receive its federal and state permits, commence construction, and begin operation.

We are suffering from the worst economy in decades, I understand that Nevada is at the bottom of the list and the only bright spot in the state is the mining industry. In fact, the Mt. Hope Mine will diversify the mining industry in Nevada and will bring the Silver State much needed economic development. Unfortunately, I have read reports that the Board of Eureka County Commissioners are continually putting up unfounded and unnecessary obstacles to delay the permitting process of General Moly's Mt. Hope project. Indeed, such nonsensical actions by a government entity such as the Eureka County Commissioners, which is well-funded primarily

from mining tax revenue, could not only jeopardize the Mt. Hope project, but future natural resource projects as well. From what I understand, the Mt. Hope project is an environmentally sound project. The management at General Moly is committed to environmental stewardship and will comply with established policies and regulations enforced by the recognized agencies and authorities such as the BLM and the State of Nevada.

While the molybdenum mine at Mt. Hope will directly benefit our company, its employees and their families, it will also have a strong economic effect upon the suppliers from whom we make our purchases. Therefore, this worthwhile project will substantially augment the State of Nevada and our nation's economy during a time when our country and its citizens need it most.

We would like to add our name to those who support the much needed start-up of General Moly's Mt. Hope project in Eureka, not only for our company, but for the general well-being of the citizens of Nevada.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 163

Comment 1

Ames Construction, Inc. is a heavy civil, mining and industrial general contractor that has maintained a local office in Carlin, Nevada since 1999. We are a major supplier of construction services to the US mining industry. Additionally, Ames has had its Western Regional headquarters in nearby West Valley City, Utah since 1985.

We have been following the development of the Mt. Hope Project for several years and have been anxiously awaiting their receipt of a Record of Decision and subsequent authorization to proceed.

We are very familiar with the project and have reviewed the Draft Environmental Impact Statement. We are very confident in General Moly's management and their commitment to environmental stewardship.

The design and construction of this project will provide hundreds of well-paying construction jobs for 18 months and another 400 long-term well-paying jobs for decades to come. These jobs represent a tremendous benefit to Eureka County, the State of Nevada, and economic benefits throughout the US as design firms are engaged and materials and equipment are purchased.

The Mt. Hope Project has our unqualified support!

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 164

Comment 1

We have had the opportunity to interact with General Moly and its employees for six years here in Eureka, Nevada. We are business owners and have been in the community since the 1940's. We have seen the upturns and downturns in Eureka's economy first hand. Because we have not depended on a county or state job for our livelihood, we are perhaps more keenly interested in General Moly's presence here.

General Moly has been very active in the community as a whole as well as in the business sector. They have sponsored many events for the community as well as donated to many community programs, many of them for our children. They have shown a real commitment to our community and to keeping the community informed of their plans. The doors to their downtown office are always open and the opportunity to learn about their business plan is always there.

We feel that General Moly has been and will continue to be a very positive influence in our community. The jobs that will be created will be such a positive thing for Eureka and for the whole state. Jobs in this time are extremely important and the taxes derived for both county and state will be very beneficial. We feel it is well past time for our Eureka County Commissioners to stop impeding and start working with General Moly in a positive way. Every one has spent too much on legal fees and appeals. It seems the BLM and the State Engineer give credibility to General Moly's research and statements. It's time for all of us to get behind this project and realize the benefits!

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 165

Comment 1

As a contractor to the mining industry, Peavine Construction is extremely interested in seeing the General Moly Mount Hope molybdenum mine in Eureka Nevada receive its federal and state permits which would allow it to commence construction and initiate operations. It is our understanding that the Mount Hope Project will be environmentally sound and that the General Moly management is committed to environmental stewardship and that it BLM and the State of Nevada

The Molybdenum at Mount Hope would directly benefit local Eureka businesses, Peavine Construction, its suppliers and subcontractors. The economic development of the State of Nevada will be positively improved by the approval of this project. Peavine Construction will actively support the startup of General Moly's Mount Hope project in Eureka in any way possible.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 166

Comment 1

As a Eureka business owner and resident, I am writing a letter in support of the Eureka Moly's Mount Hope Project for the following reasons:

1. Without the mining industry in addition to all others forms of revenue, any productive growth in Eureka and the Eureka community will not be able to compete into future economically.
2. The lack of jobs that could have been produced by the Moly Mount Hope Project, would further a dismal economic prospect which already exist throughout Nevada, and therefore, will be greatly reflected and felt by all the residence and businesses in Eureka.
3. Additionally, established business in Eureka will eventually need to close because of lack of revenue.
4. Any prospect of new businesses and services will not want to open in Eureka because of lack of growth or growth potential.
5. And finally, this county and region of Nevada has always been a mining community.

Unfortunately, this issue with the ranchers and growers has become more of a political issue and one that can be quite profitable for those who are the most vocal. These same individuals cannot honestly say that it is just about water. I pose this question, has the water problem that has existed in Eureka County and Diamond Valley really been so compelling of a matter that the persons opposing the Moly Mount Hope Project, have addressed their water concerns before the present water issues of today. I also raise this question, was water conservation ever in place or was it ever considered to be a major concern by these same people. So much in fact that every measure or effort was performed and addressed the crisis before the controversy that consumes this community now. I seriously doubt it.

It basically comes down this; it is about money and very little about the future of Eureka and its water. I personally would like to remain in this community. However, I will not if there is no longer a community to open my business in. So in closing, please take into consideration that I among many in Eureka and the valleys support this mining company bringing new vivacity into a disappearing community.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 167

Comment 1

Over the past few years extractive industries have contributed significantly to the economy in the United States. The jobs created are far reaching across many industries including the construction industry, which was heavily impacted by this most recent economic recession. As a supplier of Products and/or services to the mining Industry, Layton Construction has a vested interest in the General Moly Mt. Hope molybdenum mine in Eureka, Nevada and would like to.. see this project receive the Federal and State permits that are pending approval.

As you are aware, we have experienced the worst economic conditions in decades, with Nevada one of the hardest hit. The mining industry is a critical source of desperately needed jobs and economic opportunity. The Mt. Hope project bolsters this opportunity for many citizens, while increasing revenue for Nevada.

The reports coming out of the Eureka area indicate that unnecessary obstacles to the permitting process are delaying the issuance of legal permits for the Mt. Hope project. These obstacles stem from the office of the Eureka County Commission, which has benefited greatly from mining tax revenues. This seemingly unfounded approach to General Moly's molybdenum project, not only jeopardizes this project, but future mining opportunities as well.

From the information I have been privy to, the Mt. Hope project is environmentally sound. General Moly's management has always been and continues to be committed to environmental stewardship and its' responsibilities as a corporate citizen of Nevada. The Company's established policies are of the highest standards that we as a service provider have had experience with. General Moly infuses compliance with government regulations as well as respect for the enforcing authorities within its' corporate culture. Having worked with them over the years, we have noticed strict adherence to regulations enforced by the recognized authorities, including the BLM and the State of Nevada.

While the molybdenum mine at Mt. Hope will directly benefit our Company, including employees and families, it will also generate extensive economic benefits for material suppliers and construction trades locally and regionally. The availability of molybdenum also creates wide-ranging value for many industries throughout our Nation's economy, compounding the positive affects greatly needed during these trying times.

For the above mentioned reasons, Layton Construction would like to add our name to those who support General Moly's Mt. Hope project in Eureka, Nevada.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 168

Comment 1

As a contractor who is involved in the mining industry, we at Q & D Construction, Inc. would really like to see General Moly receive its federal and state permits so it can begin operation on the Mount Hope molybdenum mine in Eureka, Nevada.

Nevada has seen such a dramatic loss in construction employment over the past 5 years that this project brings a ray of light for some of those workers. Right now, the mining industry is the leading employer amongst construction companies. Adding the Mount Hope Mine project will only strengthen the job market and also create economic development in our state.

I understand the Mount Hope project management team is committed to environmental stewardship and this is an environmentally sound project. I know they will have to comply with strict policies and regulations established and enforced by agencies such as the BLM and the State of Nevada.

We are hoping that this project will benefit our company and our employees, since we are not guaranteed any of the work. We are hopeful as any work we get, will also be passed on to our suppliers and subcontractors that we work with.

Again the number one reason this project makes sense is that it helps our struggling state and nation during a time when the construction worker needs it most.

I would like to add my name and also my companies name to the list of those who support General Moly's Mount Hope project in Eureka. This could be a big help in creating some work for Nevadans and create an economic boost for our state as well.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 169

Comment 1

As a supplier of products and services to the mining industry, we are extremely interested in seeing the General Moly Mt. Hope molybdenum mine in Eureka, Nevada receive its federal and state permits, commence construction, and begin operation.

We are suffering from the worst economy in decades, we understand that Nevada is at the bottom of the list and the only bright spot in the state is the mining industry. In fact, the Mt. Hope Mine will diversify the mining industry in Nevada and will bring the Silver State much needed economic development.

Unfortunately, we have read reports that the Board of Eureka County Commissioners are continually putting up unfounded and unnecessary obstacles to delay the permitting process of General Moly's Mt. Hope project. Indeed, such nonsensical actions by a government entity such as the Eureka County Commissioners, which is well-funded primarily from mining tax revenue, could not only jeopardize the Mt. Hope project, but future natural resource projects as well.

We understand the Mt. Hope project is an environmentally sound project. The management at General Moly is committed to environmental stewardship and will comply with established policies and regulations enforced by the recognized agencies and authorities such as the BLM and the State of Nevada.

While the molybdenum mine at Mt. Hope will directly benefit our company, its employees and their families, it will also have a strong economic effect upon the suppliers from whom we make our purchases. Therefore, this worthwhile project will substantially augment the State of Nevada and our nation's economy during a time when our country and its citizens need it most.

We would like to add our name to those who support the much needed start-up of General Moly's Mt. Hope project in Eureka, not only for our company, but for the general well-being of the citizens of Nevada and our country.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 170

Comment 1

In 2007-2008, our company built the 162,180 square foot warehouse distribution center and offices for Caterpillar Logistics and Cashman Caterpillar in Elko, Nevada. This facility supplies parts for several of the mining sites of the Newmont Mining Company in the State of Nevada. This project created jobs during the construction of the facility and long-term jobs for many people who work within this facility.

We have had conversations with top level management personnel within Eureka Moly regarding the construction of a similar facility on land adjacent to the aforementioned property in Elko, Nevada. The Caterpillar facility has supplied many long-term jobs for the area, and also increased the tax base for the City and County of Elko, Nevada. A similar new facility for Eureka Moly would further increase long-term jobs for the area and further enhance the tax base. Due to constraints related to obtaining final approval to commence it's mining activities, Eureka Moly has not been in a position to provide a firm commitment to have such a facility built for them in Elko, Nevada; however, they certainly have a strong desire to build a facility similar to the large Caterpillar Facility. As an entity that provides warehouse and office facilities to the mining industry, I am extremely interested in seeing the Eureka Moly Mt. Hope molybdenum mine in Eureka, Nevada receive its federal and state permits, commence construction, and begin operation. Our country is suffering from the worst economy in decades, and Nevada is at or near the bottom of the list of states suffering at this time. It appears that the only bright spot in the state of Nevada is the mining industry. In fact, the Mt. Hope Mine will diversify the mining industry in Nevada and will bring the Silver State much needed economic development for many, many years. As a national real estate developer, I quite often find that States and local communities work very hard in providing incentives in order to attract companies to operate in their State / Community. Most States and Communities in other States offer such incentives in order to provide long-term jobs and economic relief to both the State and Community. In the case of the Eureka Moly Mt. Hope Project, it appears that certain Governmental entities are taking quite the opposite approach by trying to delay or halt what could be extremely lucrative benefits to the State of Nevada, it Communities and the nation as a whole from the mining operations associated with the Eureka Moly Mt. Hope Project.

An example of trying to delay or halt the Eureka Moly Mt. Hope Project, are the actions that the Board of Eureka County Commissioners in continually putting up unfounded and unnecessary obstacles to delay the permitting process of Eureka Moly's Mt. Hope Project. Indeed, such nonsensical actions by a government entity such as the Eureka County Commissioners, which is well-funded primarily from mining tax revenue, could not only jeopardize the Mt. Hope Project, but future natural resource projects as well.

From what I understand, the Mt. Hope Project is an environmentally sound project. The management at Eureka Moly is (i) experienced in the mining industry; (ii) is committed to environmental stewardship; and (iii) will comply with established policies and regulations enforced by the recognized agencies and authorities such as the BLM and the State of Nevada.

While the molybdenum mine at Mt. Hope will directly benefit our company, its employees and their families, it will also have a strong economic effect upon: (i) many people and their families that need good paying, long-term jobs; (ii) the suppliers from whom we make our purchases (iii) the City of Elko; (iv) the County of Elko; (v) the State of Nevada; and (vi) the economy of our entire nation. In summary, this worthwhile project will substantially augment the State of Nevada, the many mining communities supporting mining operations, and our nation's economy during a time when our country and its citizens need it most.

We would like to add our name to those who support the much needed start-up of Eureka Moly's Mt. Hope Project in Eureka, not only for our company, but for the general well-being of the citizens of Nevada, and our great nation.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 171

Comment 1

Ames Construction, Inc. is a heavy civil, mining and industrial general contractor that has maintained a local office in Carlin, Nevada since 1999. We are a major supplier of construction services to the US mining industry. Additionally, Ames has had its Western Regional headquarters in nearby West Valley City, Utah since 1985.

We have been following the development of the Mt. Hope Project for several years and have been anxiously awaiting their receipt of a Record of Decision and subsequent authorization to proceed.

We are very familiar with the project and have reviewed the Draft Environmental Impact Statement. We are very confident in General Moly's management and their commitment to environmental stewardship.

The design and construction of this project will provide hundreds of well-paying construction jobs for 18 months and another 400

long-term well-paying jobs for decades to come. These jobs represent a tremendous benefit to Eureka County, the State of Nevada, and economic benefits throughout the US as design firms are engaged and materials and equipment are purchased.
Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 172

Comment 1

I am a small business owner who relies on Nevada work including the Mt. Hope project.

To support my employees, their families and my suppliers I am strongly in favor of the project and would like to see the necessary permits be approved in a timely manner so the project can proceed.

The strong environmental safeguards enforced by the State of Nevada and BLM and the sizable investment proposed by the company reassures me that there should be no unnecessary delays for political election year posturing and this project should be approved as soon as possible.

I have watched with alarm as the State of Nevada has regressed during the current downturn and applaud any venture that is willing to take the mining risk and invest the capital needed to diversify our economy from its gaming base.

My experience is that the General Moly management is dedicated to being a good steward of the environment and will work to make this a showcase to repair misconceptions of uncaring mining companies that happened before the BLM and EPA enacted the strong legislation that they now use to enforce compliance.

American Assay Laboratories (a Nevada based corporation) supports the startup of General Moly's Mt. Hope project in Eureka, for myself, my employees, my suppliers and the State of Nevada.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 173

Comment 1

Having been a resident of Nevada for the past 72 years and having been in business in Nevada for the last 48 years, I would like to address the economic condition that exists within our state I've been involved with the economic diversification in northern Nevada for the last 30 years and the last four years the economic condition of our state has failed in a big way.

Economic diversification is not only about bringing building, manufacturing or warehousing to our state, that is a part of it, but in my opinion mining is a large and important part of economic diversification within the State of Nevada. I feel it extremely important that the General Moly Mt. Hope project in Eureka, Nevada receive its federal and state permits and begins operations.

From what I understand the Mt. Hope project is an environmentally sound project. The management at General Moly is committed to environmental stewardship and will comply with established policies and regulations enforced by the recognized agencies and authorities such as the BLM and the State of Nevada.

Even though this may or may not benefit Q & D Construction, it is important that this project moves forward. It will employ a lot of unemployed people in northern Nevada and will help with the recovery of our state's economic condition. I can only speak for Q & D Construction on the economic condition of our state, we use to employ 1152 people, today we are employing 268 and struggling to keep them busy, we have had to go out of state in order to stay in business.

It is important that every local, county and state of Nevada agency as well as the Federal government support this project and help expedite it getting under way as soon as possible.

I would like to add Q & D Construction to those who support the much needed start-up of General Moly's Mt. Hope project in Eureka, not only for General Moly, but for the general well-being of the citizens of Nevada.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 174

Comment 1

I work for a heavy civil, mining and industrial general contractor that has maintained a local office in Carlin, Nevada since 1989. I have been following the development of the Mt. Hope Project for several years and have been anxiously waiting for them to secure the required regulatory approval and the project to break ground.

It appears the Company has retained many qualified persons and allot of extensive work for the project and the Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am very confident in General Moly's management and their commitment to environmental stewardship.

The design and construction of this project will provide hundreds of well-paying construction jobs for 18 months and another 400 long-term well-paying jobs for decades to come. These jobs represent a tremendous benefit to Eureka County, the State of Nevada, providing long-term economic benefits so I can continue to live in the great State of Nevada.

I support the Mt. Hope Project.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 175

Comment 1

As a supplier of products and/or services to the mining industry, I am extremely interested in seeing the General Moly Mt. Hope molybdenum mine in Eureka, Nevada receive its federal and state permits, commence construction, and begin operation.

We are suffering from the worst economy in decades, I understand that Nevada is at the bottom of the list and the only bright spot in the state is the mining industry. In fact, the Mt. Hope Mine will diversify the mining industry in Nevada and will bring the Silver State much needed economic development.

Unfortunately, I have read reports that the Board of Eureka County Commissioners are continually putting up unfounded and unnecessary obstacles to delay the permitting process of General Moly's Mt. Hope project. Indeed, such nonsensical actions by a government entity such as the Eureka County Commissioners, which is well-funded primarily from mining tax revenue, could not only jeopardize the Mt. Hope project, but future natural resource projects as well.

From what I understand, the Mt. Hope project is an environmentally sound project. The management at General Moly is committed to environmental stewardship and will comply with established policies and regulations enforced by the recognized agencies and authorities such as the BLM and the State of Nevada.

While the molybdenum mine at Mt. Hope will directly benefit our company, its employees and their families, it will also have a strong economic effect upon the suppliers from whom we make our purchases. Therefore, this worthwhile project will substantially augment the State of Nevada and our nation's economy during a time when our country and its citizens need it most.

We would like to add our name to those who support the much needed start-up of General Moly's Mt. Hope project in Eureka, not only for our company, but for the general well-being of the citizens of Nevada.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 176

Comment 1

We are 100% behind the project and need BLM to make favorable conditions to start operations.

The science is good, the need for the state and local community by way of jobs and income is badly needed.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 177

Comment 1

I am a local business owner and active resident. Our store, Raine's Market, has been in business since 1973. The grocery store itself has been in business in Eureka since 1929. The Raine Family expanded the store shortly after purchasing it and when the time is right

we will consider a new location and a larger store with an expanded variety of goods and services. Raine's Market has served the Eureka area for nearly 39 years and we plan to serve this area long into the future.

Our family is sixth generation Eureka. We and our business have been through the ups and downs of the local economy and we recognize the impacts of mining in Eureka County. Our business has benefited from the mining industry and our county is fortunate to benefit so greatly from the taxes paid by mining and the contributions afforded us through mining.

General Moly has impressed me as a good neighbor and their presence in this community has already been a benefit to the community. They have shown their support by contributing to several local organizations, donated to the schools through funding of projects and scholarships, and they are utilizing existing local businesses whenever possible. These are actions of a company that wants to be a part of a community.

Mt Hope Mine will bring jobs and growth. I have witnessed the Mt Hope Mine debate closely, studied the DEIS and my opinion is that if the project is within permitting guidelines and if it is done correctly, including appropriate mitigation for any problems, then let it be done and get out of the way of this company.

Just like ranching, mining has been part of Eureka County since its beginning. Mining and ranching have worked side-by-side throughout our history, it does now and it will again.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 178

Comment 1

I am pro Eureka Moly. The Moly mine will be a community asset. To lose it would set the community back financially and culturally. Eureka Moly has invested about 20 million dollars and years of effort in trying to get the mine started. They seem to be thwarted by the three county commissioners who have spent about two million dollars fighting the mine with no explanation as to why. They are not serving their constituents well. Eureka is somewhat of a back water and would not exist except for mining. The housing area at the west end of town was planned to house miners with no mine the housing area will not be used. Money wasted.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 179

Comment 1

I HAVE BEEN A RESIDENT OF NEVADA SINCE 1950 AND HAVE BEEN A REAL ESTATE BROKER SINCE 1973 AND A CERTIFIED GENERAL APPRAISER SINCE 1992 AND ALSO A MANUFACTURED HOME DEALER LIVING IN ELKO COUNTY FORMERLY AND IN EUREKA COUNTY SINCE 2007.

I HAVE HAD MIXED FEELINGS ABOUT THE PROJECT WITH THE UNDERSTANDING THAT 20% OF THE GENERAL MOLY COMPANY IS NOT U.S.A. OWNED AND THAT THE CONTRACTS FOR THE ORE ARE GOING TO GERMANY AND KOREA. THIS MAY NOT BE THE CORRECT, CURRENT SITUATION.

FOR THE MOST PART, I AM IN FAVOR OF THE PROJECT FOR THE FOLLOWING REASONS:

1. HIGHEST AND BEST USE OF THAT AREA IS FELT TO BE FOR MINING FOR THE AREA BENEATH THE SURFACE.
2. I FEEL AN OWNER OF THE PROPERTY SHOULD HAVE THE RIGHT TO DEVELOP IT FOR ITS HIGHEST AND BEST USE AND THAT THE OWNER SHOULD BE ABLE TO EXERCISE ALL HIS BUNDLE OF RIGHTS THAT GOES WITH THE LAND.
3. I AM HOPING THIS AREA WILL GROW SO THAT EUREKA COUNTY CAN HAVE A HOSPITAL AS U IS THE ONLY COUNTY THAT DOES NOT HAVE A HOSPITAL IN THIE AREA. I LOST MY HUSBAND A YEAR AND A HALF AGO AND A HOSPITAL HERE IN EUREKA WOULD HAVE BEEN A GREAT BLESSING.
4. A PHARMACY AND MORE STORES WITH COMPETITION ARE NEEDED SO WE DON'T HAVE TO TRAVEL TO ELKO, ELY, OR FALLON FOR GOOD BUYS. I WOULD LIKE TO SEE THE PEOPLE LIVE HERE NEAR THE MINE AND NOT HAVE TO RIDE BUSES EACH DAY TO WORK.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-024-General Comments with No Specified Actions

Comment 2

5. I HAD SUGGESTED LAST YEAR PUMPING THE WATER FOR THE MINE TO A HOLDING RESERVOIR NEAR MT. HOPE IN THAT DRAW NEAR THE PONY EXPRESS NEW KIOSK OFF WEST OF HIGHWAY 278. THIS WOULD HELP THE MINE IN HAVING A RESERVOIR OF WATER IN THE EVENT THAT THERE WAS AN PROBLEM WITH WELL PUMPING CONTINUALLY. A SCREEN COULD BE PUT ON THE INTAKE FROM THE RESERVOIR TO THE MINE SO THAT FISH COULD BE PLANTED IN THE RESERVOIR. THIS WOULD PROVIDE MUCH NEEDED NUTRITIOUS FOOD IN TIMES OF FAMINE OR DROUGHT AND ALSO COULD BE A RESTING PLACE AND RECREATION SITE FOR RESIDENTS AND TOURISTS AS THERE IS NO CLOSE FISHING AREA IN EUREKA.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-071-Alternatives to Water Use

Comment 3

6. DRAWING OF THE WATER IS AN ISSUE. THE ADJOINING WHITE PINE COUNTY HAS HAD SEVERAL OF ITS RANCHES' WATER RIGHTS SOLD TO THE CITY OF LAS VEGAS. PIPE IS BEING STOCKPILED AT LUND TO TRANSPORT THIS WATER ONCE THE POWER PROBLEM IS SOLVED. EUREKA COUNTY WILL BE RIGHT IN THE MIDDLE OF THE TWO WATER DRAWING SOURCES. DIAMOND VALLEY HAS HAD WATER PROBLEMS AND RESIDENTIAL WELLS HAVE GONE DRY AND THE OLD PONY EXPRESS STAGE STOP RANCH ON NORTH END OF VALLEY HAS HAD PROBLEMS AS WELL AS THE SADLER RANCH IS NOT LIKE IT USED TO BE FOR WATER AND HAY RAISING.

IT LOOKS LIKE SOME KIND OF AGREEMENT COULD BE REACHED THAT IF EUREKA'S WATER LEVEL GETS BELOW WHERE IT SHOULD BE THAT FARMS AND RANCHES CAN'T OPERATE, THAT THE REMAINING WATER BE ALLOCATED SOMEHOW TO THE USERS TO SURVIVE THE CRISES.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-009-Water Rights

Comment 4

OVERALL, I AM IN FAVOR OF THE MT. HOPE MINE BEING A GOOD VENTURE FOR THIS AREA AND THE OWNERS AND THE STATE OF NEVADA.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 180

Comment 1

Why by from China whene we can produce it here. Lets keep America working

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 181

Comment 1

I'm in great support of General Moly it would be good for Nevada with the jobs and Nevada really need the jobs. I think that their study has been done well and they are a good company.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 182

Comment 1

While the rest of the country is in a slow downward depression, we should not stop jobs, why bring Molly from China.
Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 183

Comment 1

My family moved here to work at Cortez and need seady work, and is a good community and quiite am very conservative.
Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-024-General Comments with No Specified Actions

Letter 184

Comment 1

I am a supporter of the Moly mine. I think as a country we need any employment opportunities we can get. We also need to do anything we can to not import materials from other countries when we have them here. Nevada needs the work and tax revenue.
Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 185

Comment 1

I think Mt. Hope is awesome. We are in total support of Mt. Hope.
Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 186

Comment 1

I am in support of Mt. Hope it will be good for the economy.
Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 187

Comment 1

We hope Mt. Hope goes so our daddy's can get jobs.
Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 188

Comment 1

I wholeheartedly support Eureka Moly in their venture to open the mine called the Mt. Hope project. I believe their operation will provide hundreds of jobs directly and countless others indirectly. Modern mining is proven to be good stewards of their communities and the environment. Mt. Hope has the potential to provide good paying careers for decades to come.
Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 189

Comment 1

The Mt. Hope Project is great. It brings employment to the county and increases revenue. The company has quality representatives who are willing to work with the volunteers who bring the community together.

I don't know too much about the project but I am open for something new including jobs and more people in the community.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 190

Comment 1

Mt. Hope has hope we are in support of them.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 191

Comment 1

Thank you for taking the time to read my comments regarding approval of the Preferred Alternative for the proposed molybdenum mine at Mount Hope.

There are many good reasons to support this mine and I hope it will be approved as soon as possible.

Any alternative that seeks to delay or hinder in any way the successful development of the molybdenum mine at Mount Hope is an alternative that should be swiftly rejected. We need to put Americans back to work and we can do this by supporting General Moly's Proposed Alternative because it will open mining operations within 18 months of approval and put to work nearly 400 people. It's a good project for Nevada and a good project for the lucrative future of mining in the United States and will give a real boost to our economy.

It is a great time to open a mine. The markets are very favorable to mining the abundant natural resources we have here in the United States and General Moly's Mt. Hope project is a clear example of project that is ready to proceed in getting to our resources and putting folks to work. It should be approved and allowed to open as soon as possible.

As someone who cares about the environment and making sure American families have the ability to make a living, I couldn't ask for a better project than that proposed by General Moly for the molybdenum mine at Mount Hope. This project has taken into consideration the great beauty and wildlife of the surrounding environment. It will also be a major source of employment for the region. More mineral-rich areas should follow General Moly's example when it comes to utilizing our natural resources soundly and with great economic benefit.

I can't think of one good reason why this mine shouldn't move forward. I hope my comments will be considered and added to those of others asking for approval of this great project.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 192

Comment 1

Thank you for accepting comments in regards to the Mount Hope project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. The outcome of this issue will have a lasting effect on the people of Eureka County and Northern Nevada. Our company is Grove Madsen Industries, headquartered in Reno, NV. As a supplier of electrical distribution equipment and process controls, we employ 28 in Northern Nevada and a total of 60 in the state. The opening of the Mt. Hope mine would support several employees and their families. Our sales staff, technical support, warehouse and administration departments have a long history of working with the mining community.

We support the opening of the Mt. Hope molybdenum mine and are encouraged the DEIS indicated no areas of critical environmental concern. We have learned of the impressive \$4 million Water Sustainability Trust which will develop and implement programs that will serve to enhance the sustainability and well-being of the agricultural economy in the Diamond Valley groundwater basin. We understand this is an unprecedented water monitoring, management and mitigation program and demonstrates General Moly's commitment to the environment.

We look forward to the potential opening of the Mt. Hope mine, as the social and economic impact would be significant for the town of Eureka, and our region in general. Thank you for taking time to read these comments,

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 193

Comment 1

Americans urgently need jobs and General Moly is ready to provide them.

Please approve the preferred alternative as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement and help get Americans back to work.

The Draft EIS, including the mitigation discussed, outline how the Mount Hope Mine will have a minimal impact on the county's environment, which is very important. In addition, the project will create an average of more than 375 jobs during the year-and-a-half construction period, followed by 400 employees during the life of the mine, which is expected to be four decades. That's a huge shot in the arm for the regional economy, the local and state tax based, and most importantly, hundreds of families who will benefit. That's great news - if the proposed action is endorsed and the ROD is favorable.

I especially appreciate the fact you did not select Alternative 2.2.4, the so-called "Go Slow" option. While I understand why you analyzed it, this Alternative is a classic example of something that may sound good, but won't work in the real world. Furthermore, even if it did work economically (which is highly doubtful), as you point out "water consumption on a per-unit basis would be higher than in the Proposed Action." That's reason enough to go with your recommendation.

When it comes to approving the Mt. Hope mine, I think the main thing to consider is what it will do for our suffering economy. General Moly plans to employ around 400 people in good-paying jobs and this will have a real impact on the surrounding community and the country as a whole.

Thank you for letting me submit this letter in support of the project.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 194

Comment 1

I appreciate the BLM offering this opportunity to comment on General Moly's DEIS. The mine at Mt Hope will bring many good paying jobs to Nevada and will encourage the development of our natural resources nationwide, helping to lift us out of our current nationwide economic depression.

I am concerned with the vitality and flow of streams and springs.

However, it looks like EMLLC has a very thorough and rigorous monitoring program. The information the mine collects will help us understand the hydrology in the area - including how the Diamond Valley over-pumping is affecting conditions. This will be helpful to protecting and preserving the water, which is the most important resource. The Mount Hope project should be approved because of this beneficial impact.

General Moly is creating American jobs and boosting the economy of the State of Nevada at a time when many around the nation are suffering from lack of work. Not only will General Moly's Mount Hope Project improve the economic well-being of the area immediately surrounding it, but will also do a great deal to improve our economic well-being nationally. This mine will reduce the national trade deficit by around \$600 million annually and generate \$50 million per year in Federal, State, and local taxes.

If a well-planned, environmentally-friendly mine that employs nearly 400 Americans could be up and running within 18 months, who wouldn't support its approval? General Moly has proposed such a project at Mount Hope and it deserves approval. In these tough economic times, it makes sense to support this project.

This plan will see that jobs are returned, the local and regional economy is stimulated, tax revenues are increased, and environmental protections are put in place. This is what Nevada and the nation needs in our present economy. Please approve this plan as soon as possible.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 195

Comment 1

Our economy and our families are suffering. We can do something about this by supporting the proposed mine at Mount Hope and approving the preferred alternative that will put people to work quickly and will keep them gainfully employed for years to come.

Any time a major company has taken the time and invested the money that General Moly has to ensure that its proposed mine at Mount Hope is environmentally-sound and eco-friendly, then I say, "Let them begin." This project puts people to work and just makes sense.

As someone who cares about the environment and making sure American families have the ability to make a living, I couldn't ask for a better project than that proposed by General Moly for the molybdenum mine at Mount Hope. This project has taken into consideration the great beauty and wildlife of the surrounding environment. It will also be a major source of employment for the region. More mineral-rich areas should follow General Moly's example when it comes to utilizing our natural resources soundly and with great economic benefit.

Thank you for your consideration. I look forward to seeing this project come to fruition.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 196

Comment 1

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement and the Preferred Alternative for General Moly's proposed molybdenum mine should be approved and the mine should be allowed to move forward. It's good for central Nevada and her neighbors.

The Proposed Alternative for General Moly's Mount Hope project should be approved. It will get the project off the ground in the most efficient and environmentally-sound manner and it presents a long-term view that the other alternatives lack.

The mitigation includes protection of water and air quality. It also includes reclamation so the land is returned to a productive post-mining condition. So the project will provide jobs for generations and, the land will be able to be used for generations after that. Sounds like a win-win. Mount Hope should be approved because of these positive benefits.

The environmental impacts of the resumption of mining have been fully assessed in this DEIS. The positive impact that the opening of

the Mt Hope mine will have on the state of Nevada and the country are significant and so I urge you to approve and move forward with this plan quickly. Thank you.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 197

Comment 1

I appreciate the opportunity to add my voice to that of others in supporting the preferred alternative for the proposed mine at Mount Hope.

The DEIS presents a clear picture of how General Moly will proceed in opening and operating this mine and the economic, environmental, and social benefits of this mine are deserving of approval.

The fact that the Mount Hope project is fully financed through strategic joint venture and off-take relationships, financially-sound, and ready to go, is just one more reason the project deserves support. In these trying economic times, Nevada, and the entire country, needs to support projects that will grow our economy. General Moly is ready to get to work to do this. Let's help it put Americans back to work.

As someone who cares about the environment and making sure American families have the ability to make a living, I couldn't ask for a better project than that proposed by General Moly for the molybdenum mine at Mount Hope. This project has taken into consideration the great beauty and wildlife of the surrounding environment. It will also be a major source of employment for the region. More mineral-rich areas should follow General Moly's example when it comes to utilizing our natural resources soundly and with great economic benefit.

Thank you for your consideration. I look forward to seeing this project come to fruition.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 198

Comment 1

Allow me to submit my comments regarding General Moly's proposed mine at Mount Hope. I fully support this project and the Preferred Alternative as outlined in the DEIS.

I have been observing the trends in the economy and believe a major component to economic recovery is mining projects. One such project proposed by General Moly, the Mount Hope mine, is ready to go and needs only to receive final approval before it can begin contributing to our economic recovery. Not only will the mine employ around 400 people, it has a lifespan of nearly 80 years, ensuring that American families will reap the benefits of this project for years to come.

General Moly's mine at Mount Hope will create jobs and livelihoods in a beautiful area of the country. This project deserves support for all the benefits it will provide. Thank you for letting me lend my support to it.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 199

Comment 1

As a supplier of products and/or services to the mining industry, I am extremely interested in seeing the General Moly Mt. Hope molybdenum mine in Eureka, Nevada receive its federal and state permits, commence construction, and begin operation.

We are suffering from the worst economy in decades, I understand that Nevada is at the bottom of the list and the only bright spot in the state is the mining industry. In fact, the Mt. Hope Mine will diversify the mining industry in Nevada and will bring the Silver State much needed economic development.

From what I understand, the Mt. Hope project is an environmentally sound project. The management at General Moly is committed to environmental stewardship and will comply with established policies and regulations enforced by the recognized agencies and authorities such as the BLM and the State of Nevada.

While the molybdenum mine at Mt. Hope will directly benefit our company, its employees and their families, it will also have a strong economic effect upon the suppliers from whom we make our purchases. Therefore, this worthwhile project will substantially augment the State of Nevada and our nation's economy during a time when our country and its citizens need it most.

We would like to add our name to those who support the much needed start-up of General Moly's Mt. Hope project in Eureka, not only for our company, but for the general well-being of the citizens of Nevada.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 200

Comment 1

As a supplier of products and/or services to the mining industry, I am extremely interested in seeing the General Moly Mt. Hope molybdenum mine in Eureka, Nevada receive its federal and state permits, commence construction, and begin operation.

We are suffering from the worst economy in decades, I understand that Nevada is at the bottom of the list and the only bright spot in the state is the mining industry. In fact, the Mt. Hope Mine will diversify the mining industry in Nevada and will bring the Silver State much needed economic development.

Unfortunately, I have read reports that the Board of Eureka County Commissioners are continually putting up unfounded and unnecessary obstacles to delay the permitting process of General Moly's Mt. Hope project. Indeed, such nonsensical actions by a government entity such as the Eureka County Commissioners, which is well-funded primarily from mining tax revenue, could not only jeopardize the Mt. Hope project, but future natural resource projects as well.

From what I understand, the Mt. Hope project is an environmentally sound project. The management at General Moly is committed to environmental stewardship and will comply with established policies and regulations enforced by the recognized agencies and authorities such as the BLM and the State of Nevada.

While the molybdenum mine at Mt. Hope will directly benefit our company, its employees and their families, it will also have a strong economic effect upon the suppliers from whom we make our purchases. Therefore, this worthwhile project will substantially augment the State of Nevada and our nation's economy during a time when our country and its citizens need it most.

We would like to add our name to those who support the much needed start-up of General Moly's Mt. Hope project in Eureka, not only for our company, but for the general well-being of the citizens of Nevada.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 201

Comment 1

As a supplier of products and/or services to the mining industry, I am extremely interested in seeing the General Moly Mt. Hope molybdenum mine in Eureka, Nevada receive its federal and state permits, commence construction, and begin operation.

We are suffering from the worst economy in decades, I understand that Nevada is at the bottom of the list and the only bright spot in the state is the mining industry. In fact, the Mt. Hope Mine will diversify the mining industry in Nevada and will bring the Silver State much needed economic development.

Unfortunately, I have read reports that the Board of Eureka County Commissioners are continually putting up unfounded and unnecessary obstacles to delay the permitting process of General Moly's Mt. Hope project. Indeed, such nonsensical actions by a government entity such as the Eureka County Commissioners, which is well-funded primarily from mining tax revenue, could not only jeopardize the Mt. Hope project, but future natural resource projects as well.

From what I understand, the Mt. Hope project is an environmentally sound project. The management at General Moly is committed to environmental stewardship and will comply with established policies and regulations enforced by the recognized agencies and authorities such as the BLM and the State of Nevada.

While the molybdenum mine at Mt. Hope will directly benefit our company, its employees and their families, it will also have a strong economic effect upon the suppliers from whom we make our purchases. Therefore, this worthwhile project will substantially augment the State of Nevada and our nation's economy during a time when our country and its citizens need it most.

We would like to add our name to those who support the much needed start-up of General Moly's Mt. Hope project in Eureka, not only for our company, but for the general well-being of the citizens of Nevada.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 202

Comment 1

Thank you for the opportunity to submit a comment regarding the DEIS for General Moly's Mt Hope mine. I strongly support the preferred alternative and the 400 jobs this project will create.

Water is certainly a concern, and the monitoring program will really ensure that any impacts from the Mount Hope Mine are well understood.

This is a better and more comprehensive monitoring plan than the farmers could ever afford or would otherwise be put in place if the mine didn't get built. Besides monitoring impacts from the mine, it will also show the farmers the ongoing impacts from their pumping. That is an added benefit that is not stated in the EIS but is valuable to the water rights holders in Diamond Valley.

In today's economic climate, the importance of the addition of 400 family wage jobs should not be taken lightly. The project offers the environmental protection, good jobs, economic stimulus and production of molybdenum that we need. Please move forward with this plan as quickly as possible. Thank you for considering my comments.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 203

Comment 1

As a supplier of products and/or services to the mining industry, I am extremely interested in seeing the General Moly Mt. Hope molybdenum mine in Eureka, Nevada receive its federal and state permits, commence construction, and begin operation. We are suffering from the worst economy in decades, I understand that Nevada is at the bottom of the list and the only bright spot in the state is the mining industry. In fact, the Mt. Hope Mine will diversify the mining industry in Nevada and will bring the Silver State much needed economic development.

Unfortunately, I have read reports that the Board of Eureka County Commissioners are continually putting up unfounded and unnecessary obstacles to delay the permitting process of General Moly's Mt. Hope project. Indeed, such nonsensical actions by a government entity such as the Eureka County Commissioners, which is well-funded primarily from mining tax revenue, could not only jeopardize the Mt. Hope project, but future natural resource projects as well.

From what I understand, the Mt. Hope project is an environmentally sound project. The management at General Moly is committed to environmental stewardship and will comply with established policies and regulations enforced by the recognized agencies and authorities such as the BLM and the State of Nevada.

While the molybdenum mine at Mt. Hope will directly benefit our company, its employees and their families, it will also have a strong economic effect upon the suppliers from whom we make our purchases. Therefore, this worthwhile project will substantially augment the State of Nevada and our nation's economy during a time when our country and its citizens need it most.

We would like to add our name to those who support the much needed start-up of General Moly's Mt. Hope project in Eureka, not only for our company, but for the general well-being of the citizens of Nevada.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 204

Comment 1

I would like to add my support to the BLMs public comment period for the proposed mine at Mount Hope and ask that the preferred alternative receive approval.

I certainly have benefited from Moly during my life. Having worked for AMAX for 20 years at the Henderson Mine/Mill and Climax Mine I can speak with authority about the benefits for my family as well as the communities served by these mines. Moly supported my family, educated my children and provided a decent wage for us.

Concerning the communities - Moly provided tax revenues and well paying jobs - all benefited.

Please speed the approval process along so as to reap the many benefits associated with this project.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 205

Comment 1

As a supplier of products and/or services to the mining industry, I am extremely interested in seeing the General Moly Mt. Hope molybdenum mine in Eureka, Nevada receive its federal and state permits, commence construction, and begin operation.

We are suffering from the worst economy in decades, I understand that Nevada is at the bottom of the list and the only bright spot in the state is the mining industry. In fact, the Mt. Hope Mine will diversify the mining industry in Nevada and will bring the Silver State much needed economic development.

Unfortunately, I have read reports that the Board of Eureka County Commissioners are continually putting up unfounded and unnecessary obstacles to delay the permitting process of General Moly's Mt. Hope project. Indeed, such nonsensical actions by a government entity such as the Eureka County Commissioners, which is well-funded primarily from mining tax revenue, could not only jeopardize the Mt. Hope project, but future natural resource projects as well.

From what I understand, the Mt. Hope project is an environmentally sound project. The management at General Moly is committed to environmental stewardship and will comply with established policies and regulations enforced by the recognized agencies and authorities such as the BLM and the State of Nevada.

While the molybdenum mine at Mt. Hope will directly benefit our company, its employees and their families, it will also have a strong economic effect upon the suppliers from whom we make our purchases. Therefore, this worthwhile project will substantially augment the State of Nevada and our nation's economy during a time when our country and its citizens need it most.

We would like to add our name to those who support the much needed start-up of General Moly's Mt. Hope project in Eureka, not only for our company, but for the general well-being of the citizens of Nevada.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 206

Comment 1

The steel industry needs molybdenum and it might as well come from the United States. We have the resources and General Moly has a plan to make it happen at Mount Hope. Please support the preferred alternative.

Unlike other alternatives, the Proposed Alternative for the mine at Mt Hope should be supported. It takes into account the various factors of the project, such as water use and wildlife protection, while at the same time presenting a project that can be readily and profitably implemented. And the sooner this project is approved, the sooner we can put Americans to work.

Any time a major company has taken the time and invested the money that General Moly has to ensure that its proposed mine at Mount Hope is environmentally-sound and eco-friendly, then I say, "Let them begin." This project puts people to work and just makes sense.

This EIS is finely crafted and deserving of approval. The progress this mine represents should not be hampered. It's time to get folks back to work!

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 207

Comment 1

I'm writing in support of General Moly's molybdenum mine in central Nevada. I recommend you approve the mine and move forward with the preferred alternative, which will create jobs and economic benefit for a wide region.

The agency was correct in the alternatives in the "considered and eliminated from consideration" category, as well as in analyzing but dismissing the others. For example, while you must consider a No Action Alternative, it would be an incredible waste of a valuable resource and of an opportunity for economic benefit to many, many people. It was also wise to not select the Slower, Longer Project Alternative. While it may sound appealing, this approach would not lead to a longer mine life, but would more likely result in the project's economics not penciling out and become a de facto No Action Alternative.

The important thing is that the environmental impacts of the proposed mine at Mt Hope have been fully assessed by the BLM and that General Moly has been very concerned with conducting this project responsibly. Mining at Mt Hope is needed now to bring 400 good paying jobs to the area. I ask that you approve this plan in an expeditious manner. Thank you.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 208

Comment 1

Thank you for the opportunity to contribute to the comment period for General Moly's Mount Hope DEIS. I support this project and hope to see it up and running as soon as possible.

The Draft EIS, including the mitigation discussed, outline how the Mount Hope Mine will have a minimal impact on the county's environment, which is very important. In addition, the project will create an average of more than 375 jobs during the year-and-a-half construction period, followed by 400 employees during the life of the mine, which is expected to be four decades. That's a huge shot in the arm for the regional economy, the local and state tax based, and most importantly, hundreds of families who will benefit. That's great news - if the proposed action is endorsed and the ROD is favorable.

The United States has the natural resources that can help pull us out of our current economic depression. With abundant stores of molybdenum, General Moly's proposed Mount Hope mine is an example of such a resource that should be put to use in this cause.

Congratulations on presenting a Draft Environmental Impact Statement that clearly outlines a project deserving of support. Thank you for accepting public comment in this matter. I hope this project can commence in short order.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 209

Comment 1

Please take steps to allow the Mount Hope mine to move forward by implementing your Preferred Alternative ASAP.

Wildlife is important to me, and wildlife in Nevada does need to be protected. The mitigation for Mt Hope includes burial of powerlines and pipelines in the wellfield which will be a huge help to the sage grouse populations. This shows that the Mt Hope project will benefit wildlife, especially the sage grouse while still bringing this important project and the accompanying jobs to Nevada.

Today, with the extreme recession facing our nation, the mining industry seems to greatly help with a needed recovery. For this reason, I support the proposed General Moly mine at Mount Hope. It is ready to put Americans to work and produce the molybdenum needed by the steel industry worldwide.

If mining can provide assistance with an economic recovery, shouldn't we all support this project going forward?

Thank you for reviewing my comments. This project is deserving of approval and should not be delayed any further. It has already taken too long to receive approvals.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 210

Comment 1

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement and the Preferred Alternative for General Moly's proposed molybdenum mine should be approved and the mine should be allowed to move forward. It's good for central Nevada and her neighbors.

The Proposed Alternative for General Moly's Mount Hope project should be approved. It will get the project off the ground in the most efficient and environmentally-sound manner and it presents a long-term view that the other alternatives lack.

There are many good reasons to approve the proposed mine at Mount Hope.

Those who care about the environment as well as those who care about jobs can get behind this project. Thank you for allowing me to comment and please don't delay in moving forward on this mine.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 211

Comment 1

I support General Moly's Mt. Hope project for the jobs it will create, as well as the great economic growth for the area. I am confident that the operation will be ran with the most up to date technology. Also, General Moly has a great commitment to conduct business in an environmentally responsible way. Thank you for your time and effort in moving this project forward

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 212

Comment 1

The mining industry is one of the bright spots in an otherwise bleak economy. Please approve the molybdenum mine at Mt. Hope and support the preferred alternative to help get our economy moving again.

General Moly's Mount Hope Project will create much-needed jobs and generate a much needed resource-and it is doing so while being sensitive to the environment and the needs of those who live and work in Eureka County. In fact, General Moly has worked closely with the county to assure that the mine operates efficiently and in good stewardship of land and water resources.

Any alternative that seeks to delay or hinder in any way the successful development of the molybdenum mine at Mount Hope is an alternative that should be swiftly rejected. We need to put Americans back to work and we can do this by supporting General Moly's Proposed Alternative because it will open mining operations within 18 months of approval and put to work nearly 400 people. It's a good project for Nevada and a good project for the lucrative future of mining in the United States and will give a real boost to our economy.

Strike while the iron is hot! The proposed mine at Mt. Hope is ready to begin employing people and the timing couldn't be better. The resources market is favorable and Americans need good-paying jobs. General Moly is prepared to provide for both.

There might not be many who know what molybdenum is or what it is used for, but for those who do, this project holds the promise of long-term economic stability and prosperity to an area of the country that is sorely lacking in both. Thanks for reading my comments and please acknowledge them by supporting this EIS.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 213

Comment 1

As a supplier of products and/or services to the mining industry, I am extremely interested in seeing the General Moly Mt. Hope molybdenum mine in Eureka, Nevada receive its federal and state permits, commence construction, and begin operation. We are suffering from the worst economy in decades, I understand that Nevada is at the bottom of the list and the only bright spot in the state is the mining industry. In fact, the Mt. Hope Mine will diversify the mining industry in Nevada and will bring the Silver State much needed economic development.

Unfortunately, I have read reports that the Board of Eureka County Commissioners are continually putting up unfounded and unnecessary obstacles to delay the permitting process of General Moly's Mt. Hope project. Indeed, such nonsensical actions by a government entity such as the Eureka County Commissioners, which is well-funded primarily from mining tax revenue, could not only jeopardize the Mt. Hope project, but future natural resource projects as well.

From what I understand, the Mt. Hope project is an environmentally sound project. The management at General Moly is committed to environmental stewardship and will comply with established policies and regulations enforced by the recognized agencies and authorities such as the BLM and the State of Nevada.

While the molybdenum mine at Mt. Hope will directly benefit our company, its employees and their families, it will also have a strong economic effect upon the suppliers from whom we make our purchases. Therefore, this worthwhile project will substantially augment the State of Nevada and our nation's economy during a time when our country and its citizens need it most.

We would like to add our name to those who support the much needed start-up of General Moly's Mt. Hope project in Eureka, not only for our company, but for the general well-being of the citizens of Nevada.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 214

Comment 1

Please approve the proposed Mt. Hope molybdenum mine by supporting the preferred alternative. This is an excellent project and one that will bring broad-ranging economic benefits to Nevada and to the national economic outlook for years to come.

The Mt. Hope project is one of the world's largest and highest-grade deposits of undeveloped molybdenum. The property contains 1.3 billion pounds of proven and probable reserves. Developing this mine guarantees Americans can be put to work for years to come. It is estimated that this mine will operate for 80 years, ensuring a future for families in the surrounding area. Its development should be supported, the sooner the better.

I have been observing the trends in the economy and believe a major component to economic recovery is mining projects. One such project proposed by General Moly, the Mount Hope mine, is ready to go and needs only to receive final approval before it can begin contributing to our economic recovery. Not only will the mine employ around 400 people, it has a lifespan of nearly 80 years, ensuring that American families will reap the benefits of this project for years to come.

General Moly's mine at Mount Hope will create jobs and livelihoods in a beautiful area of the country. This project deserves support for all the benefits it will provide. Thank you for letting me lend my support to it.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 215

Comment 1

I am writing your office in regards to the Eureka Moly LLC, Mt. Hope mining project. As a 20 year resident, property and small business owner in Eureka, I have and shall continue to give the Mt. Hope project my full support. This solid and well planned project will be a great benefit not just to Eureka County, but to the country as well.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 216

Comment 1

As a supplier of products and/or services to the mining industry, I am extremely interested in seeing the General Moly Mt. Hope molybdenum mine in Eureka, Nevada receive its federal and state permits, commence construction, and begin operation. We are suffering from the worst economy in decades, I understand that Nevada is at the bottom of the list and the only bright spot in the state is the mining industry. In fact, the Mt. Hope Mine will diversify the mining industry in Nevada and will bring the Silver State much needed economic development.

Unfortunately, I have read reports that the Board of Eureka County Commissioners are continually putting up unfounded and unnecessary obstacles to delay the permitting process of General Moly's Mt. Hope project. Indeed such nonsensical actions by a government entity such as the Eureka County Commissioners, which is well-funded primarily from mining tax revenue, could not only jeopardize the Mt. Hope project, but future natural resource projects as well.

From what I understand, the Mt. Hope project is an environmentally sound project. The management at General Moly is committed to environmental stewardship and will comply with established policies and regulations enforced by the recognized agencies and authorities such as the BLM and the State of Nevada.

While the molybdenum mine at Mt. Hope will directly benefit our company, its employees and their families, it will also have a strong economic effect upon the suppliers from whom we make our purchases. Therefore, this worthwhile project will substantially augment the State of Nevada and our nation's economy during a time when our country and its citizens need it most.

We would like to add our name to those who support the much needed start-up of General Moly's Mt. Hope project in Eureka, not only for our company, but for the general well-being of the citizens of Nevada.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 217

Comment 1

I appreciate the opportunity to add my voice to that of others in supporting the preferred alternative for the proposed mine at Mount Hope.

The DEIS presents a clear picture of how General Moly will proceed in opening and operating this mine and the economic, environmental, and social benefits of this mine are deserving of approval.

The fact that the Mount Hope project is fully financed through strategic joint venture and off-take relationships, financially-sound, and ready to go, is just one more reason the project deserves support. In these trying economic times, Nevada, and the entire country, needs to support projects that will grow our economy. General Moly is ready to get to work to do this. Let's help it put Americans back to work.

As an outdoor enthusiast I urge you to approve this project. I have seen great examples of other mining companies' commitments to be stewards of the environment. Reclamation at Mt Hope will meet standards and the Henderson Creek access road will stay open. This is a great way to meet outdoor objectives and allow this economically important project to proceed.

When it comes to approving the Mt. Hope mine, I think the main thing to consider is what it will do for our suffering economy. General Moly plans to employ around 400 people in good-paying jobs and this will have a real impact on the surrounding community and the country as a whole.

As you well know, an EIS is supposed to take a "hard look at environmental consequences" and reach a decision. This draft has done exactly that, and therefore it's time to move ahead so more than 300 families can have the security of long-term, good-paying jobs.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 218

Comment 1

As a supplier of products and/or services to the mining industry, I am extremely interested in seeing the General Moly Mt. Hope molybdenum mine in Eureka, Nevada receive its federal and state permits, commence construction, and begin operation.

While we are suffering from the worst economy in decades, I believe the Mt. Hope Mine will diversify the mining industry in Nevada

and will bring the Silver State much needed economic development.

From what I understand, the Mt. Hope project is an environmentally sound project. The management at General Moly is committed to environmental stewardship and will comply with established policies and regulations enforced by the recognized agencies and authorities such as the BLM and the State of Nevada.

While the molybdenum mine at Mt. Hope will directly benefit our employees and their families, it will also have a strong economic effect upon the suppliers from whom we make our purchases. Therefore, this worthwhile project will substantially augment the State of Nevada and our nation's economy during a time when our country and its citizens need it most.

I would like to add my name to those who support the much needed start-up of General Moly's Mt. Hope project in Eureka, not only for our employees, but for the general well-being of the citizens of Nevada.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 219

Comment 1

As a supplier of products and/or services to the mining industry, I am extremely interested in seeing the General Moly Mt. Hope molybdenum mine in Eureka, Nevada receive its federal and state permits, commence construction, and begin operation.

We are suffering from the worst economy in decades, I understand that Nevada is at the bottom of the list and the only bright spot in the state is the mining industry. In fact, the Mt. Hope Mine will diversify the mining industry in Nevada and will bring the Silver State much needed economic development.

Unfortunately, I have read reports that the Board of Eureka County Commissioners are continually putting up unfounded and unnecessary obstacles to delay the permitting process of General Moly's Mt. Hope project. Indeed, such nonsensical actions by a government entity such as the Eureka County Commissioners, which is well-funded primarily from mining tax revenue, could not only jeopardize the Mt. Hope project, but future natural resource projects as well.

From what I understand, the Mt. Hope project is an environmentally sound project. The management at General Moly is committed to environmental stewardship and will comply with established policies and regulations enforced by the recognized agencies and authorities such as the BLM and the State of Nevada.

While the molybdenum mine at Mt. Hope will directly benefit our company, its employees and their families, it will also have a strong economic effect upon the suppliers from whom we make our purchases. Therefore, this worthwhile project will substantially augment the State of Nevada and our nation's economy during a time when our country and its citizens need it most.

We would like to add our name to those who support the much needed start-up of General Moly's Mt. Hope project in Eureka, not only for our company, but for the general well-being of the citizens of Nevada.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 220

Comment 1

The City of Elko has reviewed the Mt. Hope project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) and has prepared this letter of comment. The letter addresses only those areas that might impact Elko as discussed in Section 3.17, socioeconomic values. The mission statement for the City of Elko includes the term "enabling economic development". We believe it is appropriate to provide comments on this important regional project.

The City of Elko is described in this section of the draft study as "a community with potential to be a source of workers for the Project and for meeting household needs of non-local workers of the Project during both the construction and operating phases". The study also identifies Elko as a regional business center for mining-related goods and services and implies some amount of secondary employment would be realized from the project.

The DEIS estimates employment during construction will average 400 workers for 18 months. The study further estimates that most of these would be single-status daiiy an,' weekly commuters that would not reside in southern Eureka County. Table 3.17-5 lists the temporary housing resources in communities within 100 miles of the mine that could accommodate this construction labor force. Elko is the largest of these with 31 motels with 1890 rooms, and 7 RV Parks with 518 available spaces. In 2010-2011, motels and RV parks in the City housed approximately 400 construction workers from the Ruby Pipeline for over a year and City services and infrastructure

were not overly strained. Since that time, the University of Nevada Fire Science Academy, located in Carlin, Nevada, ceased operations, freeing up an additional 6,000 room nights per year. We do not see the impacts of construction labor for this project, if they choose to reside in Elko, to be significant. Our motel and RV owners and local businesses would welcome this additional business and the City would welcome the room tax revenue it generates. Elko's Park and Recreation facilities are largely funded by room tax. Other private non-profit organizations also benefit with city subsidies funded by room tax revenues.

The DEIS estimates permanent employment of 390 personnel for the first nine years of the project, of which your study assumes 55 percent to reside outside of Eureka County. Since Eureka Moly LLC (EML) currently has an office and 12 permanent employees in Elko, we assume a high percentage of these daily and weekly commuters will likely reside in Elko.

In the past three years Elko experienced significant growth in the private sector from mining and other natural resources, transportation, energy and regional warehousing. In anticipation of future growth, the City completed a major update of its Master Plan in 2011. Elko is prepared for growth. There is developable land within the City limits, ample water supply and sewage infrastructure, a class 1 Regional landfill, a regional airport serviced by daily flights, and a philosophy that welcomes planned growth, new citizens, and new businesses. Last year saw the construction of 42 single-family homes and 24 multi-family residential units completed within the City limits. The City currently has 22 single-family homes and 68 multi-family units under construction, with hundreds of multi-family units conditionally approved and pending construction. We do not see any significant impacts if some percentage of EML's work force choose to live in Elko. The sales and property taxes these new residents would add would help to mitigate the cost of additional services the City provides.

The DEIS assumes some indirect employment will be created in Elko from regional contractor purchases of goods and services. Many of these regional services are available to EML in Elko and the surrounding Industrial developments. Elko is the regional retail, industrial, transportation, entertainment, and post-secondary education hub for Northeastern Nevada. This added business will have a favorable impact on direct and indirect employment, sales and property taxes, and business fees. Elko suppliers and merchants are eager to do business with Eureka Moly, and will welcome the business their employees will bring to town. In addition, students graduating from Great Basin College will have employment opportunities at the Mine.

In summary, the City has the capacity to accommodate construction manpower associated with the Project with no required mitigation measures. With regards to longer-term housing for those permanent employees of EML who may choose to live in Elko, we are currently experiencing a shortage of residential housing. However, we believe that by the time the Mt. Hope mine ramps up to full operating employment in 2014 -2015, residential housing construction in Elko will catch up with housing demand and no mitigating measures will be required.

From an economic perspective, the Mt. Hope mine will be the first non-gold metals mine in the Region. With a 44-year project life, it will provide opportunity for much needed diversification of the regional economy.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 221

Comment 1

The BLM should speedily approve the preferred alternative outlined in the General Moly Draft Environmental Impact Statement.

When it comes to approving the Mt. Hope mine, I think the main thing to consider is what it will do for our suffering economy. General Moly plans to employ around 400 people in good-paying jobs and this will have a real impact on the surrounding community and the country as a whole.

Strike while the iron is hot! The proposed mine at Mt. Hope is ready to begin employing people and the timing couldn't be better. The resources market is favorable and Americans need good-paying jobs. General Moly is prepared to provide for both.

I can't think of one good reason why this mine shouldn't move forward. I hope my comments will be considered and added to those of others asking for approval of this great project.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 222

Comment 1

As a supplier of products and/or services to the mining industry, I am extremely interested in seeing the General Moly Mt. Hope molybdenum mine in Eureka, Nevada receive its federal and state permits, commence construction, and begin operation.

We are suffering from the worst economy in decades, I understand that Nevada is at the bottom of the list and the only bright spot in

the state is the mining industry. In fact, the Mt. Hope Mine will diversify the mining industry in Nevada and will bring the Silver State much needed economic development.

Unfortunately, I have read reports that the Board of Eureka County Commissioners are continually putting up unfounded and unnecessary obstacles to delay the permitting process of General Moly's Mt. Hope project. Indeed, such nonsensical actions by a government entity such as the Eureka County Commissioners, which is well-funded primarily from mining tax revenue, could not only jeopardize the Mt. Hope project, but future natural resource projects as well.

From what I understand, the Mt. Hope project is an environmentally sound project. The management at General Moly is committed to environmental stewardship and will comply with established policies and regulations enforced by the recognized agencies and authorities such as the BLM and the State of Nevada.

While the molybdenum mine at Mt. Hope will directly benefit our company, its employees and their families, it will also have a strong economic effect upon the suppliers from whom we make our purchases. Therefore, this worthwhile project will substantially augment the State of Nevada and our nation's economy during a time when our country and its citizens need it most.

We would like to add our name to those who support the much needed start-up of General Moly's Mt. Hope project in Eureka, not only for our company, but for the general well-being of the citizens of Nevada.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 223

Comment 1

Dig baby dig

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-024-General Comments with No Specified Actions

Letter 224

Comment 1

I write to strongly support General Moly's Mt. Hope Project. While I am somewhat concerned that water withdrawal from the two hydrographic basins may impair prior rights in the area, I believe mitigation can and will be provided by Nevada's State Engineer, General Moly, and the courts. Given that probability and expectation, I support the project moving forward for the sake of Eureka County's, Nevada's, and the company's economic prosperity.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 225

Comment 1

The Duckwater Shoshone Tribal Council supports General Moly and its effort to permit the Mt. Hope Project and bring this molybdenum mine into production. Since 2007, General Moly representatives have met with members of the Duckwater Shoshone Tribal Council as well as members of our Tribe in order to inform us about this project and how it will benefit our community and our region.

The heritage of the Duckwater Shoshone Tribe includes self-reliance and economic development. Indeed, the Mt. Hope Mine will diversify the economy of our region and will bring Nevada much needed economic development including job creation and tax revenue generation. Based on the job opportunities at the Mt. Hope Mine, we believe our tribal members will be encouraged to remain at the Duckwater Shoshone Reservation and will not have to move away from the Reservation to seek employment.

The Duckwater Shoshone Tribal philosophy includes stewardship of natural resources. Our project overview and update meetings with General Moly representatives have shown us that the Mt. Hope Project is an environmentally sound natural resource development project. Based on our meetings with the management of General Moly in Duckwater and tours at Mt. Hope, General Moly is committed to environmental stewardship.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 226

Comment 1

On Friday, December 2, 2011, the BLM published the Mt. Hope Project's NOA in the Federal Register, which commenced the public comment period for the DEIS. The publication of the DEIS is one of General Moly's most significant permitting accomplishments in the past five years. I have found it to be a very thorough document that represents a professional assessment of the project by a variety of experts, including scientists and engineers.

Having previously worked for 14 years in the mining industry and serving as an elected official for more than 20 years, I am in a unique position to recognize the environmental advantages of this project. I understand that no endangered species, wetlands, or Native American sites will be affected by the operation. The processing methods will not include cyanide or other toxic chemicals, and there will be minimum pit dewatering. New infrastructure will of course be required, but minimal land disturbance will be involved. Additionally, many environmental controls will be in place and closely monitored.

I am wholly-supportive of this venture, and note that General Moly is an American company controlled by a large majority of American shareholders and managed by Americans. Mining has been a mainstay of the Nevada economy and we can all benefit from good paying jobs and increased opportunities for support businesses.

I appreciate the opportunity to submit my comments on behalf of this worthy project.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 227

Comment 1

I am extremely interested in seeing the General Moly Mt. Hope molybdenum mine in Eureka, Nevada receive its federal and state permits, commence construction and begin operation.

We are suffering from the worst economy in decades. Mining is the only industry surviving. Gold won't be good forever. We need the Mt. Hope Mine to diversify the mining industry in Nevada and bring the Silver State much needed economic development.

I hope that you don't allow local government's delaying tactics to jeopardize this project. They have mineral money, now the rest of Northern Nevada could use the help.

I believe the Mt. Hope project is an environmentally sound project. The management at General Moly is committed to environmental stewardship and will comply with established policies and regulations enforced by the recognized agencies and authorities such as the BLM and the State of Nevada.

This project will have a direct impact not only for our company but the State of Nevada, other mining companies/suppliers and the citizens of Northern Nevada.

We would like to add our name to those who support the much needed start-up of General Moly's Mt. Hope project in Eureka, not only for our company, but for the general well-being of the citizens of Nevada.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 228

Comment 1

I would like to send my support for the Mt Hope project.

We in the United States must become as self sufficient as possible when it comes to natural resources.

Whether it be oil or Molybdenum we must do more to source these minerals in the US.

General Moly is going about the Mt Hope project in the most responsible way possible.

This project is adhering to all the environmental standards set by the government and will give many good people jobs for the long term in an area that they are very much needed.

The state of Nevada will collect great revenues from the project as well as the federal government.

The down line revenue stream will support many service providers and create even more needed jobs.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 229

Comment 1

This paper is a comment concerning the Mount Hope project. It is introduced with the author's view of the present social aspects of Eureka County. It then considers the possible social repercussions of the addition of the Mount Hope molybdenum mine to Eureka County. It concludes with the author's opinion of the societal implications of opening Mount Hope in Eureka Nevada.

There is a feeling in Eureka County. It is almost unexplainable, and yet it is obvious to any community member. It is, in part, the sentiment that I have when I run my daily errands. I have two young daughters; one is in the first grade, and the other I drop off at preschool every afternoon. Corbi, who is my preschooler, and I talk about who lives in the houses that we pass on our way to school. She begs me to stop at the houses of the people she is fond of, and I refuse her.

When we pass by our last "valley" house, Corbi yells hello to a horse that we affectionately call Tommy. When we finally get to school I know every child in her class, as well as every parent. I can bet on who will already be sitting there patiently, and who will be late. I truly enjoy conversing with these parents, as well as school employees. Each of my children's teachers always take the time to keep me updated on the girls' progress, and each teacher knows when I am at the school, and will come find me if either has something important to discuss.

After I drop off Corbi I usually run to the store. Almost every person I see tells me hello, and we pass a quick joke or inquiry. Even when I am in a bad mood I can't help but smile within a few moments. Although this feeling is hard to explain, I believe that it is imaginable, and I know that it is a shared feeling in the community. It is something about having a history and relationship with everyone that I see; it is some mixture of kindness, safety, and interest.

It is the knowledge of where I can let my children spend the night, and where I wouldn't feel comfortable with them staying. It is not having a doubt that even the people who don't care for me would be there for me if I needed them to be, and hoping that I am a good enough person to reciprocate. I guess that it is a familial feeling, and it is something that I consider to be invaluable. Sadly, it is something that I believe the Mount Hope project will have a negative impact on.

For centuries, communities have been founded or shaped based upon their access to natural resources and today, in our globalizing world, major natural resource developments are spreading to more remote areas. Mining operations are a good example: they have a profound impact on local communities and are often the first industry in a remote region. (IDCR, 2011, pg. 1)

Mining operations do have a huge impact on the social aspect of communities (IDCR, 2011). Most commonly, environmental and economic issues are discussed at length, and societal and cultural issues are neglected, or briefly considered. However, societal and cultural issues are of huge importance, and partake in both the environmental and economic aspects of mining.

Economy. For example, one has the ability of stating that the Mount Hope project will be an economic benefit by adding a large number of jobs, and comparing this addition to the present gold mines in Eureka County (United States Bureau of Land Management, 2011). However, the addition of such a large number of persons to the county will affect the social and cultural aspects already in place. The current gold mines in combination with agriculture presently, and stably, have the economy doing extremely well. Therefore the economic boost seen by the molybdenum mine would not be enough of a benefit to override the negative effects seen on the social and cultural aspects of the community. These affects will be seen by a larger number of persons than the benefits would be.

These negative social and cultural effects created by the addition of a large number of jobs, in view of the author, are listed as follows: a change in community feel and values, road conditions (Julien, 2008), ratio of teacher to students, rent prices and availability (Julien, 2008), and the safety of personal knowledge of individuals. These would be negatives seen by each community member. The value of Eureka County nationally as a historical area would also be diminished.

Environment. Another example is that the DEIS states that water supply could be effected by the project (United States, 2011). If this is the case, the agriculture in the community would be in turn affected, and it is important that prime agricultural land is not eaten up for mining, as both are valuable (Homer, 2010). Also, simply by affecting the agricultural aspect of the community the cultural and social aspects of the community will also be negatively affected. Although Eureka is presently a partial mining community, it is also an agricultural community. A large aspect of the culture of Eureka County is that of a farming and ranching community.

This type of background has its own set of cultural values and attitudes, as does mining. Presently, both cultures blend to create a subculture in Eureka County. However, this is a reality only because of the ratio of agricultural persons to mining persons. The change in this ratio would create a natural change in the present culture.

I will be one of the first to admit that it is important socially at times to think in a greatest good type of fashion. Yet, even in putting this project to this test I find that it would be a negative for Eureka County. Although Barrick mining is a benefit to the community, the changes created by the addition of the Mount Hope project would not be synonymous with that of Barrick, which is something I

feel is being insinuated. They are two separate entities, even in their social characteristics.

Socially and culturally, Eureka County is currently something special. The value of the community of Eureka County is something that needs to be taken into consideration. The addition of the Mount Hope project will adversely affect the community feel. Personally, I believe that this is going to be the most important affect, and should be of high regard.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-024-General Comments with No Specified Actions

Letter 230

Comment 1

I am pleased to express my support for General Moly's Mt. Hope project and the Partial Backfill Alternative. I sincerely believe that this project can be undertaken in an environmentally responsible manner and also create quality jobs in Nevada. The United States needs a healthy mining industry in order to sustain economic growth. The mining industry is critical to the economy and is one of the few industries that has consistently added jobs during the economic downturn. Minerals such as molybdenum are also vital to modern society. Molybdenum is necessary for the manufacture of construction products, transportation products, industrial products and electronics. It is also found in advanced green technologies such as solar panels, wind turbines, and advanced hybrid cars.

I am confident that General Moly will be a good neighbor to the town of Eureka and that they will operate the Mt. Hope mine in a professional and ethical manner. Thank you for your consideration of my position in support of the Mt. Hope Project and the Partial Backfill Alternative.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 231

Comment 1

Ames Construction, Inc. is a heavy civil, mining and industrial general contractor that has maintained a local office in Carlin, Nevada since 1999. We are a major supplier of construction services to the US mining industry. Additionally, Ames has had its Western Regional headquarters in nearby West Valley City, Utah since 1985.

We have been following the development of the Mt. Hope Project for several years and have been anxiously awaiting their receipt of a Record of Decision and subsequent authorization to proceed.

We are very familiar with the project and have reviewed the Draft Environmental Impact Statement. The involved experts for each discipline have many years of experience and extensive technical backgrounds. They have utilized applicable technical approaches with base line data. The long-term monitoring programs will provide early detection of changes resulting for the operations so that mitigation measures can be implemented timely to protect the environment and public. We are confident that the regulatory agencies have imposed a reasonable level of limitations in issued or to be permits. We are very confident in General Moly's financial ability and their management commitment to safety and environmental stewardship.

The design and construction of this project will provide hundreds of well-paying construction jobs for 18 months and another 400 direct long-term well-paying jobs for decades to come. These jobs represent a tremendous benefit to Eureka County, the State of Nevada, and economic benefits throughout the US as design firms are engaged and materials and equipment are purchased.

The Mt. Hope Project has our unqualified support!

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 232

Comment 1

I am writing in support of the Mount Hope Project. I know many of the people who work for this company, some since grade school over 45 years ago. I know these people to be of the highest character.

I hope that these responsible hard working people would be allowed to open a mine with the approval of supervising government agencies. I would hope that General Moly has not been lured by a Government into believing that if they responsibly expend their

time, energy and wealth in a legal enterprise to open a mine, only to be stopped by an overreaching agency ,with a predetermined plan not to approve their project.

I have lived in Nevada for over 20 years. Mount Hope would be a great addition to the economic viability to the entire region. I have seen enterprises frozen out by governmental and civic organizations before and it gives a big chill to anyone considering risking resources for gain. Growth is necessary for healthy communities and regions.

Please support Northern Nevada and Provide the Approval and Support General Moly needs to make this great project a reality.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 233

Comment 1

However, discussing the NHT (section 3.20) separately from other cultural resources (section 3.21) in the DEIS leads to some confusion. Specifically, it is unclear whether this high-potential segment of NHT is covered, along with other cultural resources, by the Programmatic Agreement (PA) between BLM and Nevada SHPO to address potential adverse effects to eligible or unevaluated sites. In the historic trails discussion on page 3- 552, the NHT is recognized as a historic property, meaning that it has been determined eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places. But the DEIS does not specify that the trail segment is addressed in the PA, and in fact, the PA is not mentioned at all in the historic trails section. If the NHT is covered, please reference that fact in section 3.20.

Disposition: Other (SEE RESPONSE)

Response

The Pony Express Trail is both a cultural resource and a recreation resource. As a result, this resource has its own section, separate from the other cultural resources. No changes have been made to the FEIS to address this comment.

Letter 233, Comment 2

The cultural resources section (3.21) does describe the PA, recognizes transportation and communications as a theme related to the Euro-American history of Mount Hope, and identifies the Pony Express/Overland Stage and Mail Route as a significant transportation route that passes through the project area. On page 3-567 it is reported that documented historic sites within the project area include sites related to the Overland/Road Pony Express Trail, but, the DEIS does not specify what these sites are, how many there are, or whether they were determined eligible. Is all or part of the high potential trail segment among those documented, eligible sites that will be mitigated under the terms of the PA? If not, then the decision not to address adverse effects to the trail under the PA should be explained in this section.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-116-Mitigation for Eligible Cultural Sites

Letter 233, Comment 3

Mitigation is briefly addressed in the historic trails discussion. A mitigation plan, endorsed by this office, has been developed to provide access through the project area for trail retracement groups — at least until the project property is sold (a Reasonably Foreseeable Future Action), at which time the plan evidently becomes null and void, access to re-riders can be denied, and direct impacts to the trail may be incurred (page 4- 67). Will these impacts be identified for mitigation when that separate undertaking comes under review?

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-116-Mitigation for Eligible Cultural Sites

Letter 233, Comment 4

Meanwhile, in the present review, visual impacts to the historic trail's immediate setting seem to be dismissed as too severe to mitigate: "There has been no mitigation identified that could reduce the visual impact to less than significant." While it is true that nothing can be done to reduce the adverse visual effects on the trail at that location, beneficial mitigation could still be identified and carried out elsewhere along the trail resource. For example, field surveys to inventory, GPS, and evaluate trail remnants on BLM lands across Nevada appear to be a management need; maybe some of Nevada BLM's rare Pony Express station ruins could use stabilization and updated interpretation; perhaps a station site on private property might be purchased on a willing-seller basis; or perhaps some

public trail segments that are open to motor vehicle use could be signed as a local tour route. If you would like to discuss these or other ideas, please contact me directly at (801) 741-1012 ext. 118 or at Lee_Kreutzer@nps.gov.

Disposition: Other (SEE RESPONSE)

Response

CC-055-Mitigation for Visual Impacts to Historic Trails

Letter 234

Comment 1

Please include my comments as part of the public response to the proposed molybdenum mine at Mount Hope. This project should move forward without delay.

The Draft EIS, including the mitigation discussed, outline how the Mount Hope Mine will have a minimal impact on the county's environment, which is very important. In addition, the project will create an average of more than 375 jobs during the year-and-a-half construction period, followed by 400 employees during the life of the mine, which is expected to be four decades. That's a huge shot in the arm for the regional economy, the local and state tax based, and most importantly, hundreds of families who will benefit. That's great news - if the proposed action is endorsed and the ROD is favorable.

Our country is in a critically important place economically and I believe that what we accomplish over the next several years will either help or hinder our economic recovery. Without a doubt this proposal and the preferred alternative will help our recovery.

Mining is a tough industry fraught with uncertainty. The Mount Hope project, however, offers all the economic benefits of a long-term mine without any of the uncertainty of certain types of mining. Molybdenum is needed by the steel industry and will be for the foreseeable future. Let's give it a boost by approving this EIS.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 235

Comment 1

Please include my comments as part of the public response to the proposed molybdenum mine at Mount Hope. This project should move forward without delay.

It would be a terrible waste to not tap into this resource, a resource located right here in the U.S.A. The fact that this mine will employ hundreds of people for 44 years is reason enough to proceed, and when you consider all the environmental safeguards and mitigation the company has agreed to, it's clear the BLM should approve the preferred alternative and issue a ROD.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 236

Comment 1

As a supplier of products and/or services to the mining industry, I am extremely interested in seeing the General Moly Mt. Hope molybdenum mine in Eureka, Nevada receive its federal and state permits, commence construction, and begin operation.

We are suffering from the worst economy in decades, I understand that Nevada is at the bottom of the list and the only bright spot in the state is the mining industry. In fact, the Mt. Hope Mine will diversify the mining industry in Nevada and will bring the Silver State much needed economic development.

Unfortunately, I have read reports that the Board of Eureka County Commissioners are continually putting up unfounded and unnecessary obstacles to delay the permitting process of General Moly's Mt. Hope project. Indeed, such nonsensical actions by a government entity such as the Eureka County Commissioners, which is well-funded primarily from mining tax revenue, could not only jeopardize the Mt. Hope project, but future natural resource projects as well.

From what I understand, the Mt. Hope project is an environmentally sound project. The management at General Moly is committed to environmental stewardship and will comply with established policies and regulations enforced by the recognized agencies and authorities such as the BLM and the State of Nevada.

While the molybdenum mine at Mt. Hope will directly benefit our company, its employees and their families, it will also have a strong economic effect upon the suppliers from whom we make our purchases. Therefore, this worthwhile project will substantially augment the State of Nevada and our nation's economy during a time when our country and its citizens need it most. We would like to add our name to those who support the much needed start-up of General Moly's Mt Hope project in Eureka, not only for our company, but for the general well-being of the citizens of Nevada.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 237

Comment 1

Our economy and our families are suffering. We can do something about this by supporting the proposed mine at Mount Hope and approving the preferred alternative that will put people to work quickly and will keep them gainfully employed for years to come. Any time a major company has taken the time and invested the money that General Moly has to ensure that its proposed mine at Mount Hope is environmentally-sound and eco-friendly, then I say, "Let them begin." This project puts people to work and just makes sense.

It would be a terrible waste to not tap into this resource, right here in the U.S.A. The fact that this mine will employ literally hundreds of people for 44 years is reason enough to proceed, and when you consider all the environmental safeguards and mitigation the company has agreed to, its clear the BLM should approve the preferred alternative and issue a ROD.

The DEIS to evaluate General Moly's proposed Mount Hope mine is extremely thorough and demonstrates no significant impact to the environment. This project will create 400 good-paying jobs and will create additional revenue for the state of Nevada in the form of payroll taxes, mining net proceeds tax, and sales tax. This plan will be a positive economic stimulus for local businesses and other retail businesses. Please move forward with this plan in a timely manner. Ws the right thing to do.

I was going to change all this around so it looks hie I did it but the information above is so succinct all I can add as a manufacturer and supplier in Fernley employing 25 people, is that the mine employing 400 persons directly is great but the mine can and will go to creating many jobs in and around Nevada and nationwide, creating wages and with that spending money which is what we need to get the economy back on ts feet

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 238

Comment 1

In reference to the Eureka Moly, LLC proposed Mt. Hope Mine Project Draft Environmental Impact Statement.

As a 5th generation Eureka County, Nevada resident, father of 4 Eureka residents, and owner of the grocery store in Eureka I am in complete support of allowing this project go forward with no further delay. Existing mitigation within the current Draft EIS document is more than sufficient.

Please do all you can to expedite the approval of this project.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 239

Comment 1

I am writing on behalf of the Missoula Chapter of the Montana Mining Association to submit a comment regarding the DEIS for General Moly's Mt Hope mine. I strongly support the preferred alternative. Exploration and mining are basic to our industrial base, which has been on a downslide since the 1970s. The employment and economic problems of today are the result. We need to reverse this process and begin approving projects if we are ever to rebuild our economy. The Mt. Hope project is one step in that direction.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 240

Comment 1

Angelica, I am writing to you to add my support for approval of the Mt. Hope Project. I think that good jobs in a critical industry are very important, especially in this poor economy. Responsible modern mining does not resemble the careless practices of the past. Moly is necessary for the production of high quality steel including stainless steel which is a part of our lives. Jobs will be created in the building of the mine, supporting contractors will get orders, and the operators of the mine will have employment for many decades. I urge your support of the approval process. Thank you for your attention to this note.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 241

Comment 1

Let me introduce ourselves. MMI is a small company in Mesa Arizona. We concentrate on many types of mining projects, along with water, waste-water, chemical plants, etc... Our product creates a cleaner footprint in every industry we touch. It is our objective to make our product better so plants can run cleaner, more efficiently, and therefore producing a more profitable company.

Our existence depends on projects like Mount Hope. This project needs to move forward in order to produce companies like us that move forward with clean productivity.

Please push this through as it helps create steadiness in this recession for employment, both temporary and permanent work.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 242

Comment 1

I support for the Mt. Hope Mine near Eureka, Nevada. This project will bring much needed jobs, economic activity and increased tax revenues to the area, State and Nation. Natural Resources are what has made this country great and responsible use of our resources is a must in these trouble times. Eureka Moley's plan should be approved and allow real and responsible "shovel ready jobs" to start immediately.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 243

Comment 1

The City of Carlin would like to take this opportunity to comment on the Mt. Hope Mine and the positive impacts this project will have on our community, as well as Nevada. We strongly endorse the BLM's Preferred Alternative, foremost because it will promote economic development, growth and quality of life for the residents and businesses of Carlin.

Carlin is the second closest city to the Mount Hope Mine and we believe we have an opportunity to benefit from a project of this scope. The Mt. Hope Mine will bring more than 400 direct, high paying jobs to the region for more than 40 years. We also believe that a molybdenum mine will add diversity to northeastern Nevada's predominantly gold mining region. Additionally, just as with the gold mines, we anticipate that a variety of mining support businesses and industries will operate from or through Carlin, adding to the economic growth in the area.

We appreciate the BLM's work on the Environmental Impact Statement, particularly its in-depth examination of the socio-economic impacts of the Mt. Hope mine on the surrounding communities, including the potential effects on Carlin.

We concur strongly that mines and other projects should be thoroughly studied in the EIS process to ensure our region's environment

is protected while considering the socio-economic impacts. The BLM's Draft EIS does an excellent job of addressing these issues. Therefore, the Carlin City Council supports and urges you to move forward with the BLM's Preferred Alternative for the Mt. Hope Mine.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 244

Comment 1

I am a local business owner and a resident of the town of Eureka in Eureka, County.

I have had the opportunity to talk with people who oppose the mine based mainly on perceived negative water impacts to Diamond Valley and the concerns of the growers. I also have talked with people supporting the mine. I have also attended County Commission meetings, General Moly's Town Hall meetings and most recently attended the BLM's public hearing at the Eureka Opera House. I understand the fear of negative impacts to Diamond Valley, but also understand that there are measures in place that will force the mine to stop mining if water impacts become evident in Diamond Valley.

As a business owner, I am in support of a new mine operating in our area. Obviously, it is beneficial to businesses when there is a pool of new or additional customers.

Our nation's economy is still struggling and our state's economy is even worse. Mt Hope Mine is planning for a 44 year mine life and will hire 400 people. Mt. Hope Mine is a molybdenum mine which will add diversity to Eureka County's mining base. All of these benefits will add up to a more stable local economy and as a local business owner and operator I would like to see this project move forward.

Mining is a critical industry in the state of Nevada and the BLM division's operating Nevada understand mining and the impacts it has on areas where mining is active. The EIS is very thorough process and I trust that your agency has examine all the impacts, negative and positive, and that your preferred alternative is well examined and the best choice for the Mount Hope Mine.

Please add my support to General Moly's Mt Hope Mine and the BLM's preferred alternative, which is similar to General Moly's proposal.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 245

Comment 1

Please include my comments as part of the public response to the proposed molybdenum mine at Mount Hope. This project should move forward without delay.

It is a great time to open a mine. The markets are very favorable to mining the abundant natural resources we have here in the United States and General Moly's Mt. Hope project is a clear example of project that is ready to proceed in getting to our resources and putting folks to work. It should be approved and allowed to open as soon as possible.

Enough foot-dragging. Lip service only puts politicians and environmentalists to work. Its time to put the tax-paying, working man back to work.

Disposition: Comment acknowledged; does not provide new information

Response

CC-001-General Support

Letter 246

Comment 1

I am writing this letter in support of the Eureka Moly, Mount Hope Project.

Working in the capacity of a vendor and supplier to the mining industry for the past 22 years, I understand the importance of the mining industry in the Southwest.

As a former mayor (Safford, Arizona 2004 2010) I know first-hand, the importance of a mining operation of this scope to the local community in terms of jobs and the economy.

As a citizen of the United States for 66 years now, I know and understand the importance of mining to this nation. Mining is as