



State of Nevada
 Dept. of Conservation & Natural Resources - DCNR
State Environmental Commission
 901 South Stewart Street, Suite 4001 -- Carson City, Nevada 89701

SEC Public Hearing — September 07, 2007

Air Settlement Agreement, Arsenic Rule Exemptions & Regulatory Petitions

CHAIRMAN:

Lew Dodgion
 Carson City

VICE

CHAIRMAN:

Alan Coyner
 Administrator
 Division of Minerals
 Commission on
 Mineral Resources
 Carson City

MEMBERS

Pete Anderson
 State Forester
 Division of Forestry
 Carson City

Kenneth Mayer
 Director
 Dept. of Wildlife
 Reno

Donna Rise
 Director
 Department of
 Agriculture
 Reno

Ira Rackley
 Las Vegas

Harry Shull
 Las Vegas

Tracy Taylor
 State Engineer
 Division of Water
 Resources
 Carson City

Eugene Gans
 Las Vegas

Frances Sponer
 State Health Board
 Las Vegas

Stephanne
 Zimmerman
 Las Vegas

COUNSEL

The State Environmental Commission (SEC) has scheduled a regulatory hearing for Friday, September 7th, 2007 beginning at 9:30 am. The hearing will be held in Carson City and Las Vegas through a videoconference at the Legislative Counsel Bureau Chambers in the [State Legislative Building](#) (Room 2135), 401 South Carson Street, Carson City, Nevada and the Legislative Counsel Bureau in the [Grant Sawyer Building](#) (Room 4412), 555 E. Washington St., Las Vegas, Nevada.

The purpose of the hearing is to receive comments from all interested persons regarding the adoption, amendment, or repeal of the following regulatory petitions and related SEC business. If a person that may be directly affected by a proposed action does not appear and request time to make an oral presentation at the above referenced hearing, the SEC may proceed immediately to act upon any of the following regulatory petitions or other written submissions described in this notice.

The following items will be discussed and acted upon but may be taken in different order to accommodate the interest and time of the persons attending.

▶ [Public Notice](#)

▶ [Meeting Agenda](#)

1) SEC Meeting minutes 05/24/07 — 30 Pages 220 KB * ACTION

2) Settlement Agreements, Air Quality Violations *ACTION By Consent Calendar

The Division of Environmental Protection has negotiated several Settlement Agreements for Air Pollution Control violations. The SEC is being asked to approve, deny, or modify each agreement for the companies listed on down-loadable file below.

- [Read/Download — List of Companies](#)

3) Arsenic Rule Exemptions * ACTION by Consent Calendar

Pursuant to the federal Safe Drinking Water Act and Nevada Revised Statute (NRS) 445A.935, the Commission may grant exemptions from the regulations of the Commission. Two (2) public water systems, which are listed by name on the meeting agenda, have submitted arsenic exemption applications. These applications have been reviewed and are being recommended for approval by staff

David Newton
Deputy Attorney
General
Las Vegas

STAFF

John B. Walker
Executive Secretary
Carson City

Robert Pearson
Recording Secretary
Carson City

from the Nevada Division of Environmental Protection's Bureau of Safe Drinking Water.

Water System ID and Name

- NV0000903 — CMC Steel Fabricators DBA CMC Joist
- NV0000206 — Pioneer Hills MHP

For more information read the Arsenic Exemptions Background Briefing noted below; the briefing document contains a "boiler plate" exemption approval document for consideration by the SEC.

- **Read/Download** — Arsenic Exemptions Background Document (includes the list of water systems, and the SEC's arsenic exemption document - 4 pages) 

Mining Regulation & Reclamation * ACTION ITEM

4) Regulation R141-06: Revises Provision Governing the Stabilization of Spent Ore: This regulation would amend NAC 445A.430 by focusing stabilization of spent ore on effluent chemistry levels rather than dictating a particular stabilization action or method (e.g., rinsing). The existing language in the regulation does not reflect the changes and advancements in spent ore stabilization methods and technologies that have occurred since the regulation was first promulgated. The proposed changes are designed to broaden spent ore stabilization options for spent ore that has been left on pads, or which will be remove from pads. The amended regulation will continue to require that spent ore effluent demonstrate Cyanide and pH stability levels in accordance with existing regulatory limits.

This regulation will not have an immediate or long-term adverse effect on business or the public, there is no additional cost to the agency for enforcement of the proposed regulation, and the regulation does not overlap or duplicate any regulations of other state, federal, or local agencies and it does not materially alter fees. (SEC reference # P2006-12)

- January 23, 2006 — Workshop Notice 
- June 06, 2006 — SEC Form #1, adopt, amend or repeal regulations 
- June 06, 2006 — SEC Form #4, Small business impact disclosure process 
- June 09, 2006 — SEC Regulation Submittal Letter to LCB 
- January 02, 2007 — LCB Proposed Draft Regulation (2 Pages) 
- September 19, 2006 — Filing Statement Transmittal Letter 
- September 19, 2006 — Filing Statment 
- September 19, 2006 — Filing Form 

Bureau of Air Pollution Control * ACTION ITEMS

5) Regulation R019-07: Mercury Storage: This regulation was originally adopted by the State Environmental Commission (SEC) as a temporary regulation in September 2006. Following this action, the 2007 session of the Nevada Legislature enacted Senate Bill 118; this legislation directs the SEC to permanently adopt a regulation for the handling and storage of mercury, when present in a quantity of 200,000 pounds (100 tons) or more. This is the identical threshold quantity defined in the temporary regulation.

Accordingly, this permanent regulation will amend the [Chemical Accident Prevention Program \(CAPP\)](#) regulations [NAC 459.9533](#). The regulation will permanently establish measures for the prevention of an accidental release to the environment from the storage and handling of mercury at storage facilities in Nevada, i.e., the [Hawthorne Army Depot](#). The purpose of the regulation is to protect the health, safety and welfare of the residents of the State. Mercury will be added to the list of highly hazardous substances in [NAC 459.9533](#) at the threshold level noted above.

While this regulation would not have an immediate or long-term adverse effect on business or the public, there would be a minimal additional cost to the agency for enforcement of the regulation. The regulation would not overlap or duplicate any regulations of other state, federal, or local agencies. The regulation is more stringent than federal law and it would result in nominal new fees for facilities storing "large quantities" of mercury (SEC reference # P2006-19).

- [June 06, 2006](#) — SEC Form #1, adopt, amend or repeal regulations 
- [June 06, 2006](#) — SEC Form #4, Small business impact disclosure process 
- [July 02, 2007](#) — SEC Regulation Submittal Letter to LCB 
- [August 07, 2007](#) — LCB Proposed Draft Regulation (10 Pages) — 
- [September 19, 2006](#) — Filing Statement Transmittal Letter 
- [September 19, 2006](#) — Filing Statment 
- [September 19, 2006](#) — Filing Form 

6) Regulation R057-07: Adopt by Reference: This regulation updates [NAC 445B.221](#), "Adoption by reference and applicability of certain provisions of federal law and regulations." The regulation would adopt into State regulation sections of the federal New Source Performance Standards (NSPS) and National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) rules that have been adopted by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and published in the Federal Register since July 6, 2006.

The [Nevada Division of Environmental Protection](#) is delegated the implementation of the federal NSPS and NESHAP rules that apply in Nevada. Because of this delegation, it is necessary to keep the State's "adoption by reference" regulation up to date so that EPA can continue to delegate the implementation of new rules and revisions to existing rules to the State. [NAC 445B.221](#) currently adopts the applicable NSPS and NESHAP rules, as they existed on July 6, 2006. These proposed amendments are necessary so that Nevada can request delegation for the implementation of new and revised federal NSPS and NESHAP rules promulgated after July 6, 2006. This will allow the regulated industry to continue to work with the State as opposed to the EPA.

This regulation will not have an immediate or long-term adverse effect on business or the public, there is no additional cost to the agency for enforcement of the proposed regulation, and the regulation does not overlap or duplicate any regulations of other state, federal, or local agencies and it does not material alter fees. (SEC reference # P2007-02)

- [June 20, 2007](#) — Workshop Notice 
- [June 27, 2007](#) — SEC Form #1, adopt, amend or repeal regulations 
- [June 27, 2007](#) — SEC Form #4, Small business impact disclosure process 

- [June 27, 2007](#) — NDEP Proposed regulation submitted to the SEC 
- [July 02, 2007](#) — SEC Regulation Submittal Letter to LCB 
- [July 27, 2007](#) — Background Document: Explanation of Regulation 
- [August 15, 2007](#) — LCB Proposed Draft Regulation (4 Pages) — 
- [September 20, 2006](#) — Filing Statement Transmittal Letter 
- [September 20, 2006](#) — Filing Statment 
- [September 20, 2006](#) — Filing Form 

7) Regulation R056-07: Nevada Mercury Air Emissions Control Program

Fees: This regulation amends the [Nevada Mercury Air Emissions Control Program \(NMCP\)](#) — [NAC 445B.3611](#) to [445B.3689](#). This program governs the precious metal mining industry in Nevada. For state fiscal year 2008, the regulation will increase annual maintenance fees for all thermal units that emit mercury by an amount sufficient to support two full-time state employees for 6 months (i.e., \$125,000) and fund start-up equipment costs of \$32,500. For fiscal year 2009, and each year thereafter, the collective annual maintenance fee will be increased by \$250,000 to cover the continuing cost of the two employees. These employees will be responsible for ensuring compliance with the NMCP. An inflationary adjustment factor of 2 percent, compounded annually, is also added. All fee increases will be divided among the number of thermal units that emit mercury from precious metal mining operations in Nevada.

This proposed regulation implements [Assembly Bill 115](#) which was passed by the 2007 Nevada Legislature. The legislation requires the State Environmental Commission to "adopt regulations prescribing the appropriate fee to be imposed on the operator of a mine with the potential to emit mercury . . ." in order to hire staff to ensure compliance with the NMCP. The inflation factor was added to make the mercury maintenance fee procedures consistent with fee procedures for other stationary sources permitted by the Division of Environmental Protection.

This regulation will have both an immediate and a long-term adverse effect on businesses [stationary sources] that conduct precious metal mining in Nevada and operate one or more thermal units that emit mercury. The public will not be affected by this regulation; however, there will be additional cost to the agency [as noted above] for compliance and enforcement activities. The regulation does not overlap or duplicate any regulations of other state, federal, or local agencies. (SEC reference # P2007-01)

- [June 26, 2007](#) — Workshop Notice 
- [June 26, 2007](#) — SEC Form #1, adopt, amend or repeal regulations 
- [June 26, 2007](#) — SEC Form #4, Small business impact disclosure process 
- [June 25, 2007](#) — NDEP Proposed regulation submitted to the SEC 
- [July 02, 2007](#) — SEC Regulation Submittal Letter to LCB 
- [August 15, 2007](#) — LCB Proposed Draft Regulation (3 Pages) — 
- [September 20, 2006](#) — Filing Statement Transmittal Letter 
- [September 20, 2006](#) — Filing Statment 
- [September 20, 2006](#) — Filing Form 

Public Petitions * ACTION ITEM

8) Public Petition - Western Resource Advocates (WRA): This petition requests that the State Environmental Commission (SEC) suspend Nevada's air

pollution control permitting process for proposed new coal-fired electric generating plants. The suspension would remain until such time as the Nevada Division of Environmental Protection (NDEP) promulgates regulations enacting a Green House Gas (GHG) emission standard. The petition was submitted to the SEC pursuant to [NRS 233B.100](#) and/or [NAC 445B.886](#).

The petition further requests that before a utility constructs, operates, acquires, or makes a long-term purchase from a new electricity generating facility, it must first obtain a certification from NDEP that such facilities are designed and will be operated to emit into the atmosphere "no more than 1,100 pounds of carbon dioxide pollution per megawatt-hour." This is the proposed GHG emission standard contained in the petition.

According to the petition, an electricity generating facility using traditional pulverized coal as a fuel source would be unable to meet this emission standard. Hence, the petition would have an adverse effect on any new coal fired power plants proposed in Nevada. The petition notes this would not be the case for power plants using natural gas [combined cycle] and/or other renewable energy sources to produce electricity [e.g. wind and solar]. The petition further notes that rate payers would experience a slight increase in their bills in the short term, as the utilities invest in new, cleaner technologies; however long term costs for power would decrease through avoidance of possible future carbon regulation and/or the rising, and often unstable, prices of fuels.

There would be additional costs to NDEP for incorporating a GHG emission standard into the agency's regulatory framework; according to the petition the regulation does not overlap or duplicate any regulations of other state, federal, or local agencies and it does not materially alter fees. (SEC reference # P2007-03)

NOTE: All documents are in Adobe PDF File Format 

- **August 02, 2007** — WRA Cover Letter
- **July 31, 2007** — The WRA Petition [35 pages] (as per SEC Form #1)
- **July 31, 2007** — SEC Form #4, Small business impact statement

Comment Letters

- **August 31, 2007** — Comments from Center for Energy and Economic Development (CEED) [118 pages 2.15MB]

- **August 31, 2007** — Comments from Toquop Energy (Sith Global Power) [4 Pages 6.72MB PDF graphic file]

- **August 31, 2007** — Comments by LS Power Development, LLC [6 Pages]

- **August 31, 2007** — Comments by Sierra Pacific Resources [36 Pages 5.63MB PDF graphic file]

- **August 31, 2007** — Comments by the Building and Construction Trades Council of Northern Nevada, AFLCIO [3 Pages 1.4MB PDF Graphic file]

- **September 05, 2007** — Comments by the Nevada Conservation League Education Fund

- [September 06, 2007](#) — Comments by the Sierra Club [3 Pages]
 - [Septebmer 06, 2007](#) — Comments by Newmont Mining Corporation and Newmont Nevada Energy Investment LLC. [8 pages]
 - [September 06, 2007](#) — Comments, White Pine County Board of County Commissioners
 - [September 07, 2007](#) — Comments by Woodrow W. Clark II, MA, Ph.D. titled Alternatives to Coal Plants: Renewable Energy Power Generation [19 Pages 520KB]
 - [September 07, 2007](#) — Comments by Nevada Governor Jim Gibbons [3 Pages 1.6MB PDF Graphic File]
 - [September 07, 2007](#) — Presentation by the Nevada Utilities Commission of Nevada [14 pages]
 - [September 07, 2007](#) — Comments of Environmental Defense [3 Pages]
 - [September 07, 2007](#) — Comments by Delaine Spilsbury - McGill Nevada
 - [September 07, 2007](#) — Comments by Richard Spilsbury - McGill Nevada
 - [August / September](#) — Press Accounts 
-

9) Public Comments *Non Action Item

Comment Letter

- [August 17, 2007](#) — Nevada Mining Association 
-

Additional Information about the meeting process

Persons wishing to comment on the proposed actions of the State Environmental Commission (SEC) may appear at the scheduled public hearing or may address their comments, data, views, or arguments in written form to: State Environmental Commission, 901 South Stewart Street, Suite 4001, Carson City, Nevada 89701-5249. The SEC must receive written submissions at least five days before the scheduled public hearing. If no person who is directly affected by the proposed action appears to request time to make an oral presentation, the SEC may proceed immediately to act upon any written submissions.

Members of the public can inspect copies of the regulations to be adopted at the State Library and Archives in Carson City (100 Stewart Street), and at the offices of the Division of Environmental Protection in Carson City and Las Vegas. The Carson City office is located at 901 South Stewart Street, Suite 4001 and the Las Vegas office is located at 2030 E. Flamingo Rd. Suite 230.

In addition, copies of this public notice and the accompanying regulations have been deposited electronically at major library branches in each county in Nevada. All of the proposed regulations denoted in this notice, including previous drafts, are posted here as well as on Legislative Counsel Bureau's website at <http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Register/>.

Members of the public who are disabled and require special accommodations or assistance at the meeting are requested to notify, in writing, the Nevada State Environmental Commission, in care of John B. Walker, Executive Secretary, 901 South Stewart Street, Suite 4001, Carson City, Nevada 89701-5249, facsimile (775) 687-5856, or by calling (775) 687-9308, no later than 5:00 p.m. on August 30, 2007.

As required by the provisions of chapters 233B and 241 of Nevada Revised Statutes, this public notice has been posted at the following locations: State Legislative Building, 401 South Carson Street in Carson City, the Grant Sawyer Office Building in Las Vegas, and the Offices of the Division of Environmental Protection in Carson City and Las Vegas. Copies of this notice and the proposed regulations will also be mailed to members of the public upon request. A reasonable fee may be charged for copies if it is deemed necessary.

Upon adoption of any regulation, the SEC, if requested to do so by an interested person, either before adoption or within 30 days thereafter, will issue a concise statement of the principal reasons for and against its adoption and incorporate therein its reason for overruling the consideration urged against its adoption.

▶ [Print Meeting Agenda](#) 

▶ [Print Public Notice](#) 

▶ [Send Email to receive SEC Public Notice updates](#) 

▶ [Print this page](#) 

— [Site Index](#) —

[Home Page](#)

[Commission Roster](#) | [Scheduled Hearings](#) | [Recent Hearings](#)
[Rule Making](#) | [Appeal Process](#) | [Forms](#) | [Fact Sheet & Authorities](#)
[Commission History](#) | [Hearing Archives](#)

[DCNR Home](#) | [NDEP Home](#)
[DCNR Site Map](#)

Last updated 09/20/2007 12:03:21

[Webmaster](#)