

**ADOPTED REGULATION OF THE  
STATE ENVIRONMENTAL COMMISSION**

**LCB File No. R132-12**

Effective December 20, 2012

EXPLANATION – Matter in *italics* is new; matter in brackets ~~[omitted material]~~ is material to be omitted.

AUTHORITY: §1, NRS 445A.425 and 445A.520.

A REGULATION relating to standards for water quality; revising provisions relating to the standards for determining concentrations of total dissolved solids in certain portions of the Colorado River; and providing other matters properly relating thereto.

**Section 1.** NAC 445A.1233 is hereby amended to read as follows:

445A.1233 1. The State of Nevada will cooperate with the other Colorado River Basin states and the Federal Government to support and carry out the conclusions and recommendations adopted April 27, 1972, by the Reconvened 7th Session of the Conference in the Matter of Pollution of the Interstate Waters of the Colorado River and its Tributaries.

2. Pursuant to the ~~["2008]~~ *“2011* Review - Water Quality Standards for Salinity, Colorado River System,” as adopted by the Colorado River Basin Salinity Control Forum, the flow weighted annual average concentrations for the calendar year for total dissolved solids in mg/l at the three lower main stem stations of the Colorado River are as follows:

<u><i>Station</i></u>	<u><i>Salinity in mg/l</i></u>
Below Hoover Dam.....	723
Below Parker Dam .....	747
<i>At</i> Imperial Dam.....	879

## **Permanent Regulation - Filing Statement**

A Regulation Relating to Water Quality Planning

Legislative Review of Adopted Regulations as Required  
by Administrative Procedures Act, NRS 233B.066 & 233B.0603.10(f)

### **State Environmental Commission (SEC) LCB File No: R132-12**

**Regulation R132-12 -- Colorado River Salinity:** This regulation makes administrative changes to NAC 445A.1233 subsection 2 by replacing "2008" with "2011" in reference to the "Review-Water Quality Standards for Salinity, Colorado River System" in accordance with the most recent update adopted by the Colorado River Basin Salinity Control Forum. In addition, "At" will be inserted before Imperial Dam to match the language in the "Review" and "Station" and "Salinity in mg/l" will be added as table headers. No changes to the salinity criteria are proposed.

#### **1. A description of how public comment was solicited, a summary of public response and an explanation of how other interested persons may obtain a copy of the summary.**

On May 8, 9 and 16, 2012 the NDEP held workshops in Carson City, Las Vegas, and Elko on this regulation. There were two brief comments presented at the Las Vegas workshop, which was responded to by NDEP staff – details are posted under agenda item 6 at:

**[http://www.sec.nv.gov/main/hearing\\_1012.htm](http://www.sec.nv.gov/main/hearing_1012.htm) .**

Following the workshop, the SEC held a formal regulatory hearing on October 11, 2012 at the Reno Office of the Nevada Department of Wildlife on Valley Rd. in Reno, Nevada. A public notice and agenda for the regulatory meeting was posted at the meeting location, at the State Library in Carson City, and at NDEP Offices in Carson City and Las Vegas, at the Department of Wildlife in Reno, and at the Division of Minerals in Carson City.

Copies of the agenda, the public notice, and the proposed permanent regulation R132-12 were also made available at all public libraries throughout the state as well as to individuals on the SEC mailing list.

The public notice for the proposed regulation was published in the Las Vegas Review Journal and Reno Gazette Journal newspapers once a week for three consecutive weeks prior to the SEC regulatory meeting. Other information about this regulation was made available on the SEC website at: **[http://www.sec.nv.gov/main/hearing\\_1012.htm](http://www.sec.nv.gov/main/hearing_1012.htm)**

#### **2. The number of persons who attended the SEC Regulatory Hearing:**

- (a) Attended October 11, 2012 hearing: 20 (approx.)
- (b) Testified on this Petition at the hearing: 0
- (c) Submitted to the agency written comments: 0

**3. A description of how comment was solicited from affected businesses, a summary of their response, and an explanation of how other interested persons may obtain a copy of the summary.**

Comments were solicited from affected parties and responded to at the public workshops noted above. In addition, NDEP staff created and disseminated a 4 page rationale for the regulation that described the beneficial use standards proposed in the regulation. The rationale document is also posted under agenda item 6 at: [http://www.sec.nv.gov/main/hearing\\_1012.htm](http://www.sec.nv.gov/main/hearing_1012.htm) .

**4. If the regulation was adopted without changing any part of the proposed regulation, a summary of the reasons for adopting the regulation without change.**

The regulation was adopted without changes.

**5. The estimated economic effect of the adopted regulation on the business which it is to regulate and on the public.**

This regulation will not have an immediate or long-term adverse economic impact on the public or the business community

**6. The estimated cost to the agency for enforcement of the adopted regulation.**

There will be no additional costs to the agency for enforcement of the proposed regulation.

**7. A description of any regulations of other state or government agencies which the proposed regulation overlaps or duplicates and a statement explaining why the duplication or overlapping is necessary. If the regulation overlaps or duplicates a federal regulation, the name of the regulating federal agency.**

This regulation does not duplicate any other federal, state or local regulation.

**8. If the regulation includes provisions which are more stringent than a federal regulation, which regulates the same activity, a summary of such provisions.**

The regulation is not more stringent than any federal regulation or guidance.

**9. If the regulation provides a new fee or increases an existing fee, the total annual amount the agency expects to collect and the manner in which the money will be used.**

The regulation does not address specific fees.